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Abstract

Enzymes can be post-translationally modified, leading to isoforms with different properties. The phenotypic consequences of the quantitative variability of isoforms have never been studied. We used quantitative proteomics to dissect the relationships between the abundances of the enzymes/isoforms of alcoholic fermentation, metabolic traits and growth-related traits in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. While the enzymatic pool allocated to the fermentation proteome was constant over the culture media and the strains considered, there was variation in abundance of individual enzymes and sometimes much more of their isoforms, which suggests the existence of selective constraints on total protein abundance and trade-offs between isoforms. Variations in abundance of some isoforms were significantly associated to metabolic traits and growth-related traits. In particular, cell size and maximum population size were highly correlated to the degree of N-terminal acetylation of the alcohol dehydrogenase. The fermentation proteome was found to be shaped by human selection, through the differential targeting of a few isoforms for each food-processing origin of strains. These results highlight the importance of post-translational modifications in the diversity of metabolic and life-history traits.
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Introduction

The key problem in understanding genotype-phenotype relationships is the complexity arising from multiple levels of cellular functioning. Among them, metabolic networks involve series of inter-connected chemical reactions catalyzed by enzymes, allowing the transformation of input substrates into intermediate or final metabolites. These networks play an essential role in an organism’s growth, reproduction and ability to maintain cell integrity and to respond to environmental changes (1, 2). The metabolic fluxes, as well as the metabolite concentrations, are governed by the activity of the enzymes, which depends on three types of factors: kinetic parameters, enzyme abundance and activation state of the enzyme. The kinetic parameters are determined by the sequence and the three-dimensional structure of the protein (3). The abundance of the enzymes is the result of numerous molecular processes taking place from the transcriptional to the translational level, including epigenetic modifications of the DNA and chromatin (4), transcriptional regulation by transcription factors (5), mRNA capping/splicing and small RNA regulation (6), protein turnover (7), etc. The enzyme activation state is primarily due to post-translational modifications of the native protein, themselves highly regulated (8, 9). Other mechanisms involved in enzyme activity are protein-protein interactions and allosteric regulation, such mechanisms being sometimes mediated through post-translational modifications (10, 11). The resulting isoforms can display differences in activity, affinity for partners (protein or effectors) and stability (12). The most studied modification is the reversible activation/inactivation of enzymes by phosphorylation (11, 13, 14), but other modifications are documented, such as acetylation that alters enzymatic activity and stability (15, 16) or fatty-acid modifications affecting cellular localization (17).
Thus there are multiple levers to modulate metabolic phenotypes, and the identification of the most effective ones has been the subject of much interest (18-26). Recent data suggest that upstream levels of regulation have moderate control over metabolic changes. For example, genes involved in redox regulation in *Arabidopsis thaliana* have quite stable expression while the corresponding fluxes and metabolite contents display marked genetic variations (27). Similarly, various studies on bacteria have shown that transcription is not sufficient to explain the variation of metabolic fluxes or phenotypes in *Escherichia coli* (28), *Bacillus subtilis* (29), *Corynebacterium glutamicum* (30), *Synechocystis* sp. (31) or *Mycoplasma pneumonia* (19). Systems biology studies, including transcriptomic and proteomic approaches, have suggested that the transcriptome alone does not provide a reliable indication of flux distribution in metabolic networks in yeast (32-34). By contrast, manipulating post-translational processes may have marked consequences on metabolic flux. For example in plants, abolition of the post-translational regulation of just one enzyme (a nitrate reductase) is sufficient to increase the corresponding flux of nitric oxide (35). In *Bacillus subtilis*, the phosphorylation state of one protein (Crh, a phosphocarrier protein) controls the flux through the methylglyoxal pathway, which is an alternative route of glycolysis in bacteria (36). In *Salmonella enterica*, lysine acetylation was shown to coordinate central metabolic pathways such as carbon utilization (37) or glycolysis and TCA cycle in human (15). Thus, phosphorylation, acetylation and other post-translational modifications emerge as major regulators of central metabolic pathways, yet are largely underestimated because of the lack of reliable approaches for systematic analyses (38). Their genetic and plastic variability together with their effects on the phenotype remain to be studied.

The present work focuses on the genetic and plastic variability of enzyme and isoform abundances in yeast, and on the possible consequences of this variability on metabolic and
‘life-history’ traits, *i.e.*, traits characterizing the lifespan of the organism such as growth or survival. Quantitative proteomics based on two-dimensional electrophoresis (2-DE) is well adapted for this purpose, because the different isoforms of a protein often have different electrophoretic mobility, resulting in distinguishable spots. We applied quantitative proteomics to *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* alcoholic fermentation (AF), a central metabolic pathway exploited for millennia in three important human food-processes: beer and wine production (39-41), and bread leavening (42). The yeast AF enzymes are well-known and most of them have been identified on 2-DE maps (43-47). In a previous work, we showed that life-history traits (carrying capacity and cell size) and metabolic phenotypes (maximum CO$_2$ flux, ethanol, acetate and glycerol content) displayed large variation, with medium effects usually higher than the strain effects (48). On the other hand, trade-offs were found between metabolic and life-history traits (49). A recent work showed that the expression variation of a few genes involved in the upper part of glycolysis could drive changes in life-history strategies (50), indicating that life-history traits might be under the control of some metabolic enzymes.

All these observations prompted us to investigate the possible control of metabolic and life-history traits by a large panel of AF enzymes tested under various conditions. Our experimental design included nine food-processing strains grown in triplicates in three different synthetic media mimicking the dough/wort/grape must found in bakery, brewery and enology, in order to: (i) quantify thoroughly the abundances of 18 AF enzymes and their isoforms in a sample of 27 medium x strain combinations; (ii) compare the genetic and plastic variability of the enzymes and their isoforms; (iii) search which enzymes/isoforms, if any, are related to CO$_2$ flux, AF metabolite concentrations and life-history traits, and thus
may exert control over metabolism and life-history strategy. Our results highlight the
preponderant role of post-translational modifications in the variation of metabolic
phenotypes and life-history traits.
Experimental Procedures

A detailed Materials and Methods section is available as Supporting Information.

**Biological material and synthetic fermentative media.** Nine *S. cerevisiae* strains were used (Supplementary Table 1), from enology (E1 to E4), brewery (B1 and B2) and distillery origins (D1 to D3). All strains were grown in triplicates in three synthetic fermentative media differing by their amount of sugar, nitrogen, pH, osmotic pressure and anaerobic growth factors in order to reflect main changes of fermentation medium between brewery (BREM), bakery (BAM) and winery (WIM) contexts (Supplementary Table 2).

**Metabolic and life-history traits.** For each of the 81 fermentations (9 strains x 3 media x 3 repetitions), we measured the following metabolic and life-history traits: CO₂ specific flux (the CO₂ production rate per cell, g h⁻¹ cell⁻¹), ethanol production (% vol. cell⁻¹), acetic acid concentration (g cell⁻¹), glycerol concentration (g cell⁻¹), biomass (g cell⁻¹), carrying capacity (K or maximum population size in cells mL⁻¹) and cell size (µm [diameter]).

**Quantitative proteomics.** One sample per fermentation (81 fermentations) was harvested at comparable physiological stage (maximal CO₂ production rate before nutriment starvation). One 2-DE gel per sample was run and stained with colloidal-blue, which offers a linear relationship between spot quantification and protein abundance (47) and thus allows accurate comparison of spot abundance between and within 2-DE gels. Spots of interest were quantified using Progenesis software (Nonlinear Dynamics, Newcastle, UK) and identified using mass spectrometry (MS). Almost all enzymes involved in glycolysis and ethanol pathways were identified, or at least the major/most abundant isozymes in case of paralogous genes.
**Statistical analyses.** The variation of each isoform or enzyme abundance (in the latter case the isoforms of the enzyme were summed) was investigated through a mixed ANOVA model:

\[ Z = \mu + \text{medium}_i + \text{strain}_j + \text{block}_k + \text{position}_l + \text{batch}_m + \text{medium} \times \text{strain}_{ij} + \varepsilon_{ijklm} \]

where \( Z \) is the variable, medium is the medium effect (\( i = 1, 2, 3 \)), strain is the strain effect (\( j = 1, \ldots, 9 \)), block is the random block effect (effect of each weekly experimental repetition, \( k = 1, \ldots, 11 \)), position is the random position effect (bioreactor position, \( l = 1, \ldots, 15 \)), batch is the random 2-DE batch effect (\( m = 1, \ldots, 6 \)), medium \times strain is the interaction effect between medium and strain factors, and \( \varepsilon \) is the residual error. For further analyses (hierarchical clustering, PCA, LDA, regression analysis, etc.), we used the mean abundances predicted by the ANOVA model, i.e. corrected for the random effects (block, position and batch effects).

The final dataset is available as Supplementary Information (Dataset 4). Hierarchical clustering was made using R (Ward’s clustering method and Euclidean distances). Proteomic-trait relationships were explored using multiple linear regression to find enzymes/isoforms whose abundance was significantly related to metabolic and life-history traits. The impact of human domestication was investigated using Linear Discriminant Analysis (LDA) to discriminate beer, distillery and wine strains using R. Discriminant isoforms were identified through stepwise variable selection and through the calculation of the « ability to separate » (AS) criterion.
**Results**

To explore the extent of phenotypic diversity of enzymes abundance in alcoholic fermentation pathway, we chose nine food-processing strains of *S. cerevisiae* (Supplementary Table 1) from different food origins, and we performed anaerobic alcoholic fermentations in triplicates using three different synthetic media (Supplementary Table 2) that mimicked the dough/wort/grape must found in bakery, brewery and enology (48). For each of the 81 fermentations (9 strains x 3 media x 3 repetitions), cell samples for proteomics assays were harvested during the fermentations when the CO$_2$ production rate per cell (the flux) was close to its maximum, so that the cells displayed comparable physiological stage. Using quantitative proteomics, we identified and quantified the relative abundance of 15 enzymes of glycolysis and ethanol pathways, one enzyme of acetate pathway and two enzymes of glycerol pathway (Figure 1). Those 18 enzymes were representative of alcoholic fermentation metabolic process and will be thereafter called the fermentation proteome. For most enzymes, several spots, corresponding to different post-translational forms (isoforms) were identified (Figure 1), allowing subsequent analyses both at the enzyme level (sum of all isoforms for each enzyme) and at the post-translational modifications level (individual isoforms). The few suspected allelic variants identified by 2-DE (shifting trains of spots, Figure 1B) were confirmed by gene sequence (Supplementary Information Dataset 1). In these last cases, we compared isoforms having the same position within the train of spots (acidic, basic and intermediary isoforms) rather than co-located spots (see Materials and Methods in Supplementary Information). The mean coefficient of variation between biological triplicates for isoforms was 18.4%, which is low enough to accurately detect small abundance variations. Proteomic data were released in the PROTICdb database, a web-based application designed for large-scale proteomic programs.

The fermentation proteome is constrained

We first analyzed the different sources of variation for protein abundance at different levels: at the whole fermentation proteome level (sum of enzymes of glycolysis, ethanol, acetate and glycerol pathways), at the enzymes level (sum of isoforms for each enzyme), and at the post-translational level using individual isoforms (Table 1). Considered globally, the sum of the abundance of the enzymes involved in the fermentation proteome (42 isoforms) represents on average 32.87 ± 1.89% of the total analyzed proteome (2265 +/– 209 spots depending on the 2-DE gel). Variance analysis (ANOVA) revealed that such fermentation pool displayed no medium, no strain and no medium x strain interaction effects, indicating that the enzymatic pool allocated to glycolysis, ethanol, acetate and glycerol pathways is invariant whatever the medium and strain considered. Within the fermentation proteome, the abundance from one enzyme to another (sum of all isoforms for each enzyme) varied greatly (Supplementary Figure 1), with highly abundant proteins (Tdh2p, Tdh3p, Eno2p, Fba1p) and poorly represented enzymes (Pfk1p, Ald6p, Pgi1p, Hor2p, Rhr2p). However, while abundance had important variation within enzymes, among strains, the proportion allocated to each enzyme appeared to be globally conserved (Figure 2). Indeed, the mean coefficients of variation of the 18 enzymes (CV = 0.26) and 42 isoforms (CV = 0.35) were significantly lower than the mean coefficient of variation of the 688 other common spots (non-AF proteins) on the 2-DE gels (CV = 1.24, Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, p-value = 2.48 \times 10^{-10}}
and $2.89 \times 10^{-15}$, respectively). However, although the abundance of AF enzymes appeared more constrained than the whole proteome, significant variations were found, in particular for the enzymes of the last part of glycolysis (except Tdh3p and Gpm1p), as well as for the enzymes of ethanol, acetate and glycerol pathways (Table 1). A significant strain effect was found for most enzymes (13/18), which accounted for 21% to 68% of total variation (Table 1). The medium effect was significant for only 6/18 enzymes and accounted for much less of the total variation (between 4% and 28%, Table 1). The medium x strain interaction effect was significant for 2/18 enzymes, and accounted for 15% to 16% of total variation of the enzyme. Finally only 5/18 enzymes exhibited no strain or medium effect, and the average abundance of Pgi1p, Fba1p and Tpi1p, corresponding to the first part of glycolysis, was similar in all the 27 medium x strain combinations. Therefore, we found a significant variation for enzyme abundance, which was better explained by genetic differences between strains than by plastic changes in response to variations of the culture medium.

The isoforms of a given enzyme display different patterns of variation

The different isoforms of the fermentation enzymes were also analyzed individually (Table 1). The isoforms of a given enzyme generally displayed different abundance patterns that were hidden when the analysis was performed at the enzyme level (sum of the isoforms). For example, three spots were identified for Gpm1p. Summing all isoforms, Gpm1 displayed neither medium nor strain effects, while spot 3313 displayed strain effect (higher relative abundance for E2 and D1 strains). For most enzymes, isoforms vary in different ways with respect to genetic and environmental factors (Table 1). In addition, for some enzymes, the abundance variation of the different isoforms compensates, in part, each other. For
example, the global variance of Pdc1p abundance was twice lower than the sum of the variances of the four individual Pdc1p isoforms ($1.08 \times 10^{-3}$ vs. $2.26 \times 10^{-3}$). This showed that the different Pdc1p isoforms had negative covariance. Indeed, the abundance of three Pdc1p isoforms (1605, 1606 and 1589) was significantly lower for strain D3 while the abundance of the remaining Pdc1p isoform (spot 4854) was significantly higher, compensating in part the variation of the others. Isoform compensation was found for four enzymes (Fba1p, Tdh2p, Eno2p, Pdc1p) that displayed less variation when considering the variance of the sum of the isoforms rather than the sum of the variance of the isoforms (Table 1). Isoforms compensation was visible on Figure 2: the total enzyme abundances (illustrated by pie sizes) varied weakly between strains while the distribution of the different isoforms of the enzymes (illustrated by pie’s slices) was more variable for Tdh2p, Pdc1p, Fba1p and Eno2p. Overall, analyses of variance showed that the different isoforms of a given enzyme can respond differently to environmental and genetic changes, and in some cases can compensate each other.

**Global patterns of isoform abundance variation reflect the strain genetic diversity**

In order to represent global patterns of protein abundance variation, we performed a hierarchical clustering of all 27 medium x strain combinations on the basis of individual mean isoform abundance over replicates (Figure 3A). The resulting dendrogram showed a clustering according to the strains rather than the culture media and was close to the one obtained from genetic data (Figure 3B). The hierarchical clustering was thus congruent with the analyses of variance of the isoforms and suggested that the variations in isoforms
abundance of the fermentation proteome are mainly genetically determined and displays limited plastic variation.

Because yeast strains used in different food processes may have experienced independent human domestication (51-53), we searched for enzymes and/or isoforms that could be involved in differentiating the strains according to their food origin (beer, distillery and wine). We ran a Linear Discriminant Analysis (LDA) on the basis of isoform relative abundance with the food origin of the strains as grouping factor (Figure 4A). The \textit{a posteriori} probability to infer correctly the food origin of a strain was 0.96, indicating that it was possible to find a linear combination of isoforms that almost perfectly separated the samples according to the food origin of the strains, whatever the culture medium. A stepwise variable selection was then performed to determine which isoforms allowed such food origin discrimination and the « ability to separate » (AS) criterion was calculated (Figure 4B). The isoform with the highest AS was Pdc1p-4854 that accounted for 32.56% of food origin discrimination, indicating that human domestication differentially targeted this isoform, directly or indirectly. Indeed, Pdc1p-4854 was significantly more abundant in distillery strains than in beer and wine strains (Figure 4C). The acidic isoform of Tdh3p also accounted for 15.39% of food origin discrimination and separated wine strains from both distillery and beer strains. The unique isoform of Ald6-1565, one isoform of Tdh1p (2729) and one isoform of Pgk1p (intermediary) were associated with 7.51%, 7.13 and 6.54% of food-origin discrimination, respectively. The other isoforms had lower ability to separate food origins (< 5%). Notice that only few isoforms of the same enzyme appeared among the most discriminant factors in the LDA: one isoform out of the four of Pdc1p, one isoform out the three of Tdh3p, Tdh1p and Pgk1p. This result indicates that the fermentation proteome was
significantly shaped by human domestication, through the differential targeting of some isoforms of a few enzymes involved in fermentation.

The CO₂ specific flux is related to variation in abundance of specific isoforms of different enzymes

The net outcome of glycolysis and alcoholic fermentation is the production of ethanol and ATP from glucose, along with CO₂ release. Stepwise multiple regression analyses were performed to determine which enzymes or isoforms of the fermentation proteome (if any) were related to CO₂ specific flux (i.e. the CO₂ production rate per cell). For enzyme-flux regression analysis, the best model (i.e. lowering AIC criterion) accounted for 44.49% of the variation of the CO₂ specific flux. For the isoform-flux regression analysis, the best model accounted for 79.50% of the variation of the CO₂ specific flux (Table 2), suggesting that the efficiency of the fermentation process is more related to the abundance of specific forms of different enzymes than to global enzyme abundances. Therefore we considered individual isoforms for further functional analysis. Eighteen isoforms were found to be significantly associated with the variation of the CO₂ specific flux (Figure 5A). Among them, the Pdc1p-1589 isoform accounted for 12.47% of the CO₂ flux variation, which was the largest part of variation explained by a single isoform. However, its abundance was negatively correlated to the flux, which suggests that it may correspond to an inactive or poorly active form of Pdc1p. In addition, two isoforms of Tdh1p (spots 2757 and 2729) accounted for respectively 10.07% and 8.50% of the flux variation and both were positively correlated to the flux. An isoform of the alcohol dehydrogenase, Adh1p (spot 4799) was also found negatively correlated to the flux and accounted for 7.57% of its variation. Finally, an enzyme involved in the glycerol
pathway, Hor2p (spot 3129), was found negatively related to the CO\(_2\) flux and accounted for 5.02% of the flux variation, while the isoforms of the other enzymes accounted for less than 5% of the flux variation. Thus, CO\(_2\) flux variation was associated with the variation in abundance of specific isoforms rather than by the variation in abundance of all isoforms belonging to a peculiar enzyme. Moreover, each isoform accounted for a limited proportion of the flux variation, suggesting that the control of CO\(_2\) flux is distributed among the different isoforms.

The CO\(_2\) specific flux is related to phosphorylation and N-terminal acetylation of some enzymes

Additional experiments were run with a high-resolution mass spectrometer (QExactive, Thermo Scientific) to identify the underlying post-translational modification(s) differentiating the isoforms of the three enzymes (Pdc1p, Tdh1p and Adh1p) that accounted for the highest parts of variation of CO\(_2\) specific flux. The mass spectrometry (MS) data were used to search specifically for phosphorylation and N-terminal acetylation, which are very common post-translational modifications in yeast and were previously described for Pdc1p, Tdh1p and Adh1p (http://www.ibgc.u-bordeaux2.fr/YPM/, http://www.phosphogrid.org).

For the four isoforms of Pdc1p, we were unable to identify the causal post-translational modifications, due either to the absence of phosphorylation or N-terminal acetylation for this enzyme or to accessibility problems of the modified peptides. For Tdh1p-2824, we identified a phosphorylated serine (position 201) that discriminated Tdh1p-2824 and Tdhp-2757 (which may correspond to the native protein). Protein phosphorylation induces an
acidic shift, which is congruent with isoform position on gel (Figure 1). The post-translational modifications associated with the two other isoforms of Tdh1p (2729 and 2775) were not identified, but multiple combinations of post-translational modifications are possible, rendering hazardous their identification by mass spectrometry.

For Adh1p, we identified an N-terminal acetylation (after methionine excision) harbored by Adh1p-4808, while Adh1p-4799, the other isoform, probably corresponded to the native protein. N-terminal acetylation also induces an acidic shift, in accordance with Adh1p isoform location on 2-DE gels. Hence, from a functional viewpoint, our mass spectrometry analyses indicated that CO$_2$ flux variation was mainly negatively associated with the variation in abundance of an unknown post-translational modified form of Pdc1p, the phosphorylation status of Tdh1p and the N-terminal acetylation status of Adh1p.

**Final metabolite concentrations are related to some specific isoforms of different enzymes**

During alcoholic fermentation, most of the consumed glucose (89.08%) is used to produce ethanol. The remaining glucose is used for biomass production (5.96%), glycerol (3.86%) and acetate (0.61%) synthesis (48). Other minor by-products, such as carbohydrate storage, represent less than 5‰ of initial glucose content. However, the AF product concentration at the end of the fermentation process varies greatly depending on the medium and the strain for a given fermentation volume (48). To determine whether some enzymes/isoforms could be related to the final concentration of AF products and production of biomass, stepwise multiple regression and bootstrap resampling were applied for ethanol, acetate, glycerol and
biomass production per cell. With no exception, individual isoforms accounted for a larger part of variation of each of the four AF products than did the whole enzymes (Table 2).

Nine isoforms were found significantly related to ethanol production per cell (Figure 5B), the first one being Pdc1p-4854 that accounted for 22.52% of its variation with a positive correlation between the abundance of the isoform and ethanol production. Two other isoforms, Rhr2p-3003 and Tdh2p-4740, accounted for a substantial part of the variation (12.29% and 9.55%, positive and negative correlation respectively). For acetate production (Figure 5B), the fittest model included seven isoforms, two of them accounting for most of the variation: Pdc1p-4854 (24.08%, positive correlation) and Adh1p-4799 (19.66%, negative correlation). Variation in glycerol production was also mostly associated with the variation in abundance of these two isoforms (30.93% variation, positive correlation for Pdc1p-4854 and 15.54% variation, negative correlation for Adh1p-4799), while it was not associated with the variation of Hor2p and Rhr2p isozymes, that are directly involved in the glycerol pathway (Figure 5B). Finally, regarding biomass production per cell, the fittest regression model contained eight isoforms of which the first ones, Adh1p-4799, Pgk1-acidic and Ald6p-1565, were negatively correlated to biomass and accounted for 19.31%, 11.59% and 6.67% of variation respectively. Thus, the fate of glucose during AF seems to be mostly related to some specific isoforms of a few enzymes: increased level of Pdc1p-4854 was associated with an increase of ethanol, glycerol and acetate production, while increased level of the non-acetylated form of Adh1p (Adh1p-4799) was associated with a decrease of biomass formation and to a lesser extent of acetate and glycerol concentrations. For Pdc1p, the isoform associated with ethanol, glycerol and acetate production (Pdc1p-4854) differs from
the one associated with CO₂ specific flux variation (Pdc1p-1589) but belong to the same enzymes.

‘Ant’ and ‘grasshopper’ strategies are mainly determined by the degree of acetylation of Adh1p

In previous works, two life-history traits, cell size and maximum population size (K, the carrying capacity) were found to be related to the glycolytic flux and to define a range of life-history strategies distributed between two extreme behaviors, metaphorically designated as ‘ants’ and ‘grasshoppers’ (49, 50, 54). Similarly to what was done for metabolic traits, stepwise multiple regression and bootstrap resampling on isoform abundances were applied to cell size and K, the carrying capacity (Figure 5C). The two isoforms of alcohol dehydrogenase, Adh1p, accounted for a large part of variation of these two life-history traits. Variation in abundance of the non N-terminal acetylated isoform (Adh1p-4799) was found to be positively associated with K and negatively associated to cell size (23.59% and 21.16% of variation respectively), while it was the opposite for the acetylated isoform of Adh1p (spot 4808) (9.38% and 4.55% for K and cell size, negative and positive correlation respectively). Some isoforms of Pgk1p and Pdc1p were also significantly related to K and cell size: Pgk1p-acidic, Pgk1pbasic and Pdc1p-4854 accounted for 17.29%, 12.46% and 10.92% of K variation, while Pgk1p-acidic and Pdc1p-1589 accounted for 10.33% and 9.58% of cell size variation. Interestingly, this analysis allowed us to explore the metabolic bases for the correlation between K and cell size. From the isoforms that were retained by the multiple regression, eight (Tdh1p-2729, Tdh1p-2824, Tdh2p-4732, Pgk1p-basic, Pgk1p-acidic, Adh1p-4799, Adh1p-4808 and Ald6p-1565) were positively correlated with one trait, and negatively
correlated with the other, which is consistent with the negative correlation previously observed between the two life-history traits. The other isoforms were specific of either $K$ or cell size. In addition, we found a spectacular specialization of the isoforms of Adh1p, with isoform-4799 correlated with high $K$ and low cell size while isoform-4808 was correlated to low $K$ and high cell size (Figure 5C). While these two isoforms accounted for around 26-33% of the variation for cell size and $K$ by linear regression, it raised up to 52-65% when considering the degree of acetylation of Adh1p (Adh1p-4808/sum of both Adh1p isoform). As shown Figure 6, the percentage of acetylated Adh1p is highly positively correlated to $K$ ($\rho = 0.77$, $p < 10^{-15}$) and highly negatively correlated to cell size ($\rho = -0.75$, $p < 10^{-15}$). This shows that “ant” and “grasshopper” strategies are mainly associated with the degree of N-terminal acetylation of the alcohol dehydrogenase.

**Using natural variation for metabolic engineering**

Although multiple regression analyses gave a good indication of the correlation existing between metabolic or life-history traits and isoforms abundances, they cannot predict whether the change of abundance of a single isoform will have a large impact on the traits. Indeed, an isoform may be significantly correlated to a trait but the slope may be low (a variation in abundance has little effect on the flux) and/or the range of variation in abundance of the isoform may be restricted. To determine the extent to which the different isoforms could affect maximum $CO_2$ flux during alcoholic fermentation, we used the equation of the multiple regression model previously established. For each strain, we used the mean abundance of their isoforms observed in all three media (Supplementary Information Dataset 2), except for one isoform the concentration of which varied over its
natural range of variation in the nine strains. This allowed us to predict how the CO$_2$ specific flux changes when the abundance of one isoform changes (bar lengths and positions, Figure 7). For instance, the natural range of variation of Ald6p-1565 was associated with a very low CO$_2$ flux variation, while the response of the flux upon Pdc1p-1589 variation was large. The magnitude of these predicted variations was not strain-dependent (the same equation of multiple regression was used), but there were clear differences between strains regarding the max-min flux profiles over the isoforms (bar positions on the y-axis in Figure 7). In D3 strain, which had the highest observed flux ($3.04 \times 10^{-11}$ g h$^{-1}$ cell$^{-1}$), but the lowest abundance of Pdc1p-1589 (remind that the correlation between the flux and Pdc1p-1589 is negative), changing Pdc1p-1589 abundance was associated with a flux decreased. But for the eight remaining strains, Pdc1p-1589 variation was mostly associated with a strong increase of the flux, even exceeding D3’s (best) flux. For example, strain B1 had a mean flux of $2.17 \times 10^{-11}$ g h$^{-1}$ cell$^{-1}$. The abundance decrease of Pdc1p-1589 isoform could be associated to a virtual flux increase of 54% ($3.35 \times 10^{-11}$ g h$^{-1}$ cell$^{-1}$) compared to the measured B1’s flux. For B2 the virtual flux was even more increased: B2 strain had a mean flux of $2.88 \times 10^{-11}$ g h$^{-1}$ cell$^{-1}$ that could be increased up to $4.27 \times 10^{-11}$ g h$^{-1}$ cell$^{-1}$ along with the virtual abundance decrease of Pdc1p-1589. This result elects Pdc1p-1589 as a relevant molecular target for further flux improvement for most strains. In a lesser extent Tdh2p-4740 could also be targeted to increase flux in all strains but B1 and D2, and Rhr2p-3003 in D3 and E2.
Discussion

**Global constraints on the fermentation proteome**

We reported here a comprehensive study of the sources of variation for the yeast fermentation proteome during alcoholic fermentation, and its relationship with metabolic and life-history trait variation. We compared strains from different food origins grown in different fermentation media, and chose the peak of CO\(_2\) production rate as the reference physiological stage. At this stage the enzymatic pool allocated to the fermentation proteome, which represents one third of the total proteome, appeared to be constant over the media and strains considered. Previous work has suggested that enzyme concentrations cannot increase indefinitely and are probably bounded due to cellular constraints in space and energy (55), avoiding macromolecular crowding (56) and lowering the energetic cost associated with enzyme transcription, translation and maintenance under limited resources (57, 58). While our data demonstrated the existence of such a constraint at the level of the whole fermentation proteome, we have also shown that the AF enzymes have reduced variance compared to non-AF proteins, highlighting the existence of evolutionary constraints. Such constraints may be related to the existence of macromolecular complexes associating enzymes belonging to the same metabolic pathway. These so-called metabolons (59) were described for glycolytic enzymes in several organisms ranging from plants (60, 61), to human (62, 63) and yeast (64). Metabolon allows passing (channeling) the intermediary metabolites from one enzyme to the consecutive one within a given metabolic pathway, forming a metabolite tunnel. Substrate channeling is assumed to increase the efficiency and velocity of metabolic pathways, relative to the performances of a set of independent enzymes, but also to prevent the release of unstable intermediates (65). In addition, protein
associations may protect the metabolic pathways in stressful environments (66). Indeed, we can hypothesize that the abundance of metabolon enzymes is closely regulated, which is consistent with the strong evolutionary constraint observed here for AF enzymes.

**Variation and trade-offs between isoform abundances**

For most of the AF enzymes studied here, several spots were identified in the 2-DE gels. Those isoforms could be unambiguously attributed to post-translational modifications: (i) all the isoforms were detected in all nine strains. Within a given strain, different isoforms corresponding to a given enzyme encoded by a given gene necessarily arose through post-translational modifications; (ii) in case of proteins encoded by paralogous genes (Tdh1/Tdh2/Tdh3, Eno1/Eno2, etc.) mass spectrometry specific peptides allowing the clear discrimination of the paralogs were identified (Supplementary Information Dataset 3); (iii) in the only five cases where we observed a shift of electrophoretic mobility of one enzyme for a particular strain (Figure 1B), all the spots corresponding to the enzyme shifted, indicating a change in an amino acid, confirmed from gene sequences; (iv) we were able to identify the post-translational modifications for some isoforms. Adh1p-4808 was identified as the acetylated form of Adh1p, while Adh1p corresponded to the native protein. Tdh1p-2824 displayed a phosphorylated residue (serine 201), while Tdh1p-2757 corresponded to the non-phosphorylated isoform. The post-translational modifications differentiating the other isoforms could not be identified.

Our data allowed us to measure the magnitude of the abundance variation of the isoforms of each enzyme, and to compare them to the variation observed at the whole enzyme level
represented by the sum of isoforms abundances. Many enzymes had isoforms that displayed
different ranges of genetic and environmental variation, indicating isoform specialization.
For some enzymes, most of the variation for protein abundances was observed at the
isoform level, and the abundances of the different isoforms of a given enzyme were
negatively correlated between strains, resulting in a lower genetic variation at the enzyme
level. Moreover, variation of isoform abundances seemed to have clear functional
consequences. Some specific isoforms – rather than all the isoforms of some enzymes –
were associated to the variation of CO₂ flux, AF products, cell size or carrying capacity (K). A
few isoforms were also associated to differences between the food origins of the strains. The
relationships between isoforms and phenotypic traits can be interpreted in three ways: (i)
the isoforms of the enzymes control the phenotype, (ii) the phenotype regulates back
isoforms abundance, (iii) trade-offs related to different allocations of the same resources
lead to correlations between isoforms and traits. As post-translational modifications of
enzymes are largely involved in the modulation of catalytic activity, ranging from inactivation
to full activation, in protein-protein interactions or the regulation of enzymatic turnover
(12), we interpret our results as the consequence of isoform control on phenotypic traits. To
our knowledge, this is the first time that post-translational modifications are shown to be
associated with traits related to fermentation metabolism, suggesting that ‘fine-tuning’ of
yeast AF is sustained at the post-translational level.

**Sharing-out the control of flux**

In Metabolic Control Analysis (MCA), the flux control coefficient is a dimensionless measure
of how much a flux varies in response to an infinitesimal change in the rate of a particular
reaction (67, 68). Provided the flux-enzyme (or isoform) relationship is concave hyperbolic, flux control coefficients can also be estimated from changes in enzyme activities (69). By extension, the proportion of variance of CO$_2$ flux accounted for by the abundance variation of an individual isoform can be regarded as a proxy of the flux control exerted by the isoform. Although the relationship between flux control coefficient and the proportion of accounted for variance is complex (70), an enzyme that accounts for a significant part of the flux variance has necessarily a non-null control coefficient. In our work, eighteen isoforms were found to be related to the CO$_2$ flux, each isoform accounting for a limited proportion of flux variation (maximum 12.34%), in agreement with MCA that predicts flux control to be split over several enzymes rather than one. The CO$_2$ flux control was mainly distributed among an unknown post-translational modification of Pdc1p, the phosphorylation status of Tdh1p and the degree of N-terminal acetylation of Adh1p. Some isoforms of Pfk1p and Pfk2p exhibited strong genetic variation but no association with the CO$_2$ flux, which suggests that those enzymes have no control of the CO$_2$ flux. Conversely, our experimental set-up did not allow us to say anything about the control of the flux for isoforms that showed no genetic or environmental variations like Pgi1p and Tpi1p. Our results can be compared with previous works that specifically under- or over- expressed some enzymes of the fermentation proteome. From a MCA perspective, allosteric enzymes Pfkp and Pyk1p are unlikely to exert a high control on the glycolytic flux (71). This was confirmed experimentally for Pfkp (72-74) which is consistent with our own findings. However, Pyk1p was found to exert a significant level of control over both the rate and direction of carbon flux in yeast during growth on glucose (73), as well as in Lactococcus lactis (75). Accordingly, the Pyk1p-1310 isoform was found significantly associated with CO$_2$ flux in our study. Our results also show some discrepancies with what had been previously observed. For instance Schaaff et al. (74)
reported that the yeast glycolytic flux remained unaffected by the overexpression of hexokinase, Pfk1p, Pgk1p, Pyk1p, Pdc1p, Gpm1p or Adh1p, while we found a strong association between the CO$_2$ flux and some isoforms of Pgk1p, Pyk1p, Pcd1p and Adh1p. Similarly, over and under-expression of Pgi1p and Fba1p were shown to be associated with changes in glucose consumption rate, cell size and the carrying capacity $K$ (50), while we found no genetic and no environmental variation for isoform abundance of those enzymes. However, those results may not be contradictory, keeping in mind that the variations that we observed between strains are the result of evolutionary processes that occurred during yeast domestication, while Schaaf et al. (74) and Wang et al. (50) had analyzed expression mutants. Indeed, in the MCA perspective, the enzyme selection coefficients for changing the flux are proportional to the flux control coefficients (76). Enzymes having a strong control on the flux are expected to be the primary targets of selection and to show less genetic variation within populations. In drosophila, a survey of within and between species polymorphism of 17 enzymes pointed the glucose-6P branchpoint as a specific target of selection (77). It is often assumed that the first steps in a metabolic pathway are exerting strong control over flux (78). This prediction was verified for the pathway of anthocyanins biosynthesis by comparing the rate of evolution of enzyme genes along the pathway in three plant species: Rausher et al. (79) showed that upstream enzymes of the pathway were much less variable than downstream enzymes. In yeast, previous works suggested that specific selective pressures shaped the first part of the glycolysis: Pgi1p, Fba1p and Tpi1p have been conserved as single copy even after independent whole-genome duplication, meaning that one duplicated copy has been lost (80-82). Our results show less genetic variation between strains for the abundance of enzymes of the upper part of the glycolytic pathway, and more variation for the abundance of downstream enzymes, together with strong associations with
CO₂ flux as well with metabolic or life-history traits. We propose to interpret these features as evidence for unequal sharing-out of the flux control, with a higher control exerted by the upstream enzymes leading to higher evolutionary constraints. Because of those constraints, human selection for modulating the CO₂ flux or other traits related to food processing has been only possible through small changes in the abundance of less constrained enzymes, downstream in glycolysis or belonging to the glycerol, acetate or ethanol pathways, resulting in a higher genetic diversity observed today for those enzymes.

**Human domestication shaped the fermentation proteome**

Using linear discriminant analysis and subsequent studies, we showed that the fermentation proteome was significantly shaped by human domestication, through the differential targeting of a few isoforms. The distillery strains were separated from beer and wine strains on the basis of Pdc1p-4854, an isoform associated to the main AF metabolites (ethanol, acetate, glycerol concentration per cell). Wine and beer strains have been specifically selected to lower acetate production that is responsible for a well-known off-flavor, the vinegar taste (83), while this feature is less important for distilling yeasts. This could explain why winemakers and brewers’ selection significantly lowered this isoform. In addition, winemaking selection appeared to have specially targeted the acidic isoform of Tdh3p. In a recent work, Jimenez-Marti and coworkers suggested that Tdh3p might account for strain adaptation to enological conditions (84). This could explain why isoforms of this enzyme had been specially targeted for wine strains. Industrial yeast improvement strategies could build on this result of empirical human domestication and target the regulation of the post-
translational forms of the others enzymes involved in metabolic control such as Pdc1p or Adh1p.

**Pdc1p and Adh1p: the last, but not the least, steps of alcoholic fermentation**

Deciphering the relationships between the fermentation proteome and metabolism revealed the implication of several isoforms belonging to different enzymes. In particular, isoforms of two proteins, Pdc1p and Adh1p, were associated to alcoholic fermentation: Pdc1p-1589 isoform was found to be related to the CO$_2$ flux, while Pdc1p-4854 accounted for an important part (20-32%) of the final ethanol, glycerol and acetate concentrations. Noteworthingly, this last isoform’s abundance was low, and considering the four identified Pdc1p’s spots as a whole would have hidden Pdc1p-4854 variation and forbidden the identification of Pdc1p as an essential enzyme controlling AF metabolites production in addition to its role in CO$_2$ flux control. Previous work described the presence of N-terminally acetylated and non-acetylated isoforms of Pdc1p in yeast (85), and close comparison of our 2-DE gels with the yeast proteome map (http://www.ibgc.u-bordeaux2.fr/YPM/) suggests that Pdc1p-4854 may correspond to the non-acetylated isoform while Pdc1p-1589 and Pdc1p-1605 may be acetylated forms. Pdc1p N-terminal acetylation is achieved through the excision of the initial methionine and subsequent addition of an acetyl group. Our mass spectrometry analyses allowed us to identify the native (non-acetylated) peptide for Pdc1p-4854 (MSEITLGK), while this peptide was not identified for other Pdc1p isoforms. We hypothesized that our mass spectrometry analyses failed to detect the acetylated peptide (*SEITLGK) because of its short length (peptides with length inferior to 8 amino acids usually have low yet not-significant p-values (>0.05) and are thus missed by the analysis.)
Besides Pdc1p, Adh1p’s isoforms were found to be significantly related to different metabolic and life-history traits: the non-acetylated isoform of Adh1p (4799) was associated with a significant part of the variation of glycerol, acetate and biomass formation per cell (15-20%), and was also related to two fitness traits (21-24%), the carrying capacity (K) and cell size, that define ‘ant’ and ‘grasshopper’ life-history strategies. In particular, the degree of acetylation of Adh1p was strongly related to these traits, highlighting the functional importance of N-terminal acetylation. In yeast, the N-terminal acetyltransferase responsible for Adh1p acetylation is NatA (85). NatA is composed of two main subunits (Nat1p and Ard1p), whose mutants are related to the disappearance of acetylated isoforms of several enzymes, including Adh1p and Pdc1p (85). N-terminal acetylation is one of the most common protein modifications in eukaryotes, as 85% of the proteins have an acetylated isoform (86), but it displays several specificities. Unlike other post-translational modifications, N-terminal acetylation is irreversible and occurs during protein synthesis after about 50 amino acid residues have emerged from the ribosome (87). Thus, N-terminal acetylation is sometimes designed as a co-translational rather than post-translational modification. The biological significance of N-terminal acetylation is still unclear, because no global trend regarding the functional consequences of N-terminal acetylation emerges. For some proteins, N-terminal acetylation may act as a degradation signal (88), while for others N-terminal acetylation may protect from proteolytic degradation and subsequently increases their half-life (89). N-terminal acetylation was also shown to be involved in protein sorting and addressing to cellular organelles (90) or to membrane (91). Indeed, while Adh1p is frequently described as a cytoplasmic protein, it is also associated with the plasma membrane (92) like many other fermentation enzymes (Pgi1p, Tpi1p, Eno1p, Eno2p, Tdh1p, Tdh2p, Tdh3p, Pgk1p, Pyk1p). In addition, these enzymes display protein-protein
interactions in yeast (93) and also in Human (94), suggesting they may form a large metabolon complex whose plasma membrane localization (92) may be useful for the rapid processing of the glucose entering the cell. This is particularly true for larger cells where independent cytosolic proteins have less chance to be close together and form an enzyme-to-enzyme channeling of glycolytic intermediates. Moreover, in human erythrocytes, glycolytic enzymes are organized into complexes on the membrane (63) via N-terminal residues of some proteins (95) and phosphorylation (63), highlighting the importance of post-translational modifications in metabolon efficiency. We speculate that a few fermentation enzymes could act as a “plasma membrane anchor” of fermentation metabolon, such as acetylated Adh1p. This would be in agreement with the fact that larger cells show a higher degree of N-terminal acetylation of Adh1p. It could also explain why the non-acetylated isoform of Adh1p is negatively correlated to the flux, in contrast to the acetylated one.

In any case, although the real impact of N-terminal acetylation is unknown for Adh1p, we showed a clear correlation between the degree of acetylation of Adh1p and both cell size and carrying capacity, as well as between the non-acetylated isoform and CO$_2$ flux/glycerol/acetate/biomass production. Recently, some works have shown that lysine acetylation was involved in the control of central metabolic pathways in both prokaryotes and eukaryotes (15, 37), but to our knowledge, this is the first time that N-terminal acetylation of an enzyme is shown to be unambiguously associated with both metabolic and life-history traits.

In conclusion, using *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* alcoholic fermentation as model, we have highlighted the importance of post-translational modifications such as phosphorylation and N-terminal acetylation in metabolic control. Isoforms were also shown to govern other key
fitness traits related to cell growth, showing their importance from the functional and evolutionary viewpoints and underlining the usefulness of large-scale approaches at the post-translational level.
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Figure legends

Figure 1. Linking glycolysis, ethanol, glycerol and acetate pathways to 2-DE proteomics.

Plain boxes: identified enzymes. Striped boxes: unidentified enzymes corresponding to minor or low-abundant isoforms. Stars indicate specific spots corresponding to allelic variants (see B). A- 2-DE: Localization of the different enzymes within the master gel (co-electrophoresis of all samples). MW and pI stand for Molecular Weight (kDa) and isoelectric point, respectively. B- Allelic variants: five allelic variants were identified for Pfk1p, Pgk1p, Tdh3p, Eno1p and Eno2p on the basis of the electrophoretic mobility of the corresponding spots, and were confirmed by protein sequence (Supplementary Information Dataset 1).

Figure 2. Distribution of the fermentation proteome within nine food-processing strains.

B1 and B2: brewery strains, D1 to D3: distillery strains, E1 to E4: enology strains. The 18 enzymes involved in glycolysis, ethanol, glycerol and acetate pathways are illustrated by pies whose size is proportional to the mean enzyme abundance over the three media. Within each pie the different isoforms (if any) are represented by pie’s slice of different colors.

Figure 3. Hierarchical clustering using proteomic and genetic data.

A- Proteomic relationships between nine food-processing strains in three fermentative media using the fermentation proteome data. B- Genetic relationships between nine food-processing strains using 8 microsatellites. The robustness of the nodes was assessed through multi-scale bootstrap resampling.

Figure 4. Discrimination of the food origin of the strains on the basis of the fermentation proteome.

A- Linear Discriminant Analysis of the food origins of the strains (beer, distillery and wine strains) on the basis of the isoform relative abundances. B- Values of the “Ability to Separate” (AS) criterion for the most significant isoforms. The other isoforms have an AS below 4%. C- Isoform mean relative abundance for each food origin. Means with different letters differ significantly (Duncan’s multiple comparison, $p < 0.05$).
Figure 5. Relationship between seven metabolic and life-history traits and individual isoforms of the enzymes involved in alcoholic fermentation.

The best model accounting for trait variation was established using stepwise multiple regression (see equations in SI – text file). All the isoforms included in the model are presented.

A- Percentage of variance of the CO$_2$ specific flux per cell accounted for by each isoform.

B- Percentage of variance accounted for by each isoform for the concentration of ethanol, glycerol and acetate par cell, and for biomass per cell.

C- Percentage of variance accounted for by each isoform for two life-history traits: $K$ (carrying capacity) and cell size.

Figure 6. Relationships between Adh1p isoform ratio and two life-history traits, cell size and carrying capacity.

A- 2-DE gel portions of the two isoforms of Adh1p ordered in decreasing Adh1p isoform ratio (spot 4799/spot 4808). B1 and B2: brewery strains, D3: distillery strains, E1 to E4: enology strains. BAM, BREM and WIM: bakery, brewery and winery media. B- Relationship between cell size (diameter, µm) and Adh1p isoforms ratio (spot 4799/spot 4808). A significant negative correlation was found ($\alpha = -0.74$, $p < 10^{-15}$). C- Relationship between $K$ (cells per mL) and Adh1p isoform ratio (spot 4799/spot 4808). A significant positive correlation was found ($\rho = 0.77$, $p < 10^{-15}$). The points corresponding to the 2-DE gel portions (A) are black-circled.
Figure 7. Predicted response of CO\textsubscript{2} flux to individual isoform variation.

To predict the response of CO\textsubscript{2} flux to individual isoform variation, we used the equation of the multiple regression accounting for CO\textsubscript{2} flux from isoform abundance. For each strain, the abundance of all isoforms but one was fixed equal to their mean over the three media, and the last isoform varied over the range of natural variation among the nine strains. D1 to D3: distillery strains, E1 to E4: enology strains. BAM, BREM and WIM: bakery, brewery and winery media. The CO\textsubscript{2} specific flux is expressed in $10^{-11} \text{ g h}^{-1} \text{ cell}^{-1}$. The “best flux” is indicated by the grey horizontal lines and the “strain flux” by the dotted line.
### Table 1. Results of the ANOVAs: sums of squares for abundance of enzymes and their isoforms involved in glycolysis, ethanol, acetate and glycerol pathways.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metabolic pathway</th>
<th>Enzymes</th>
<th>Isoforms reference</th>
<th>% of total sum of squares (df)</th>
<th>Variance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>medium (2)</td>
<td>strain (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fermentation proteome</strong></td>
<td><strong>all AF enzymes</strong></td>
<td><strong>all isoforms</strong></td>
<td>3.55</td>
<td>10.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pgi1p</td>
<td>1660</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>19.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>all isoforms</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>34.9***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>intermediary</td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td>43.99***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>basic</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>31.2**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>acidic</td>
<td>5.12</td>
<td>27.45**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pfk1p</td>
<td>4832</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>35.26**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>all isoforms</td>
<td>2.18</td>
<td>9.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4759</td>
<td>2.14</td>
<td>21.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4758</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2414</td>
<td>9.22</td>
<td>17.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fba1p</td>
<td>3406</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>11.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Glycolysis</strong></td>
<td>Tpi1p</td>
<td>3406</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>11.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>all isoforms</td>
<td>18.73**</td>
<td>21.9**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2775</td>
<td>13.79**</td>
<td>19.81*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2757</td>
<td>14.46*</td>
<td>20.09*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2729</td>
<td>12*</td>
<td>21.56**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2824</td>
<td>10.55</td>
<td>29.07**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tdh1p</td>
<td>4832</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>56.38***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>all isoforms</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>37.89***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4732</td>
<td>2.56</td>
<td>50.81***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4872</td>
<td>4.21*</td>
<td>77.63***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4740</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>56.38***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tdh2p</td>
<td>4740</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>56.38***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>all isoforms</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>14.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>basic</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>17.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>intermediary</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>12.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>acidic</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>79.49***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tdh3p</td>
<td>5.58</td>
<td>42.82***</td>
<td>11.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>all isoforms</td>
<td>8.49*</td>
<td>48.63***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>basic</td>
<td>20.61***</td>
<td>34.57***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>intermediary</td>
<td>5.58</td>
<td>42.82***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>acidic</td>
<td>7.67*</td>
<td>53.39***</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** Values marked with an asterisk (*) indicate a significant difference at p < 0.05. Values marked with a double asterisk (**) indicate a significant difference at p < 0.01. Values marked with a triple asterisk (****) indicate a significant difference at p < 0.001.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>all isoforms</th>
<th>Gpm1p</th>
<th>all isoforms</th>
<th>Eno1p</th>
<th>all isoforms</th>
<th>Eno2p</th>
<th>all isoforms</th>
<th>Pyk1p</th>
<th>all isoforms</th>
<th>Ethanol</th>
<th>all isoforms</th>
<th>Pdc1p</th>
<th>all isoforms</th>
<th>Adh1p</th>
<th>all isoforms</th>
<th>Glycerol</th>
<th>Hor2p</th>
<th>3129</th>
<th>6.76**</th>
<th>63.72***</th>
<th>14.62*</th>
<th>14.9</th>
<th>3.75E-05</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9.22</td>
<td>6.03</td>
<td>23.08</td>
<td>61.67</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.98E-04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>48</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.03</td>
<td>2.83</td>
<td>23.73</td>
<td>63.72</td>
<td>1.98E-04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3333</td>
<td>9.71</td>
<td>2.83</td>
<td>23.73</td>
<td>63.72</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.98E-04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>74</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.47</td>
<td>6.35</td>
<td>17.42</td>
<td>70.75</td>
<td>1.39E-05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3345</td>
<td>5.47</td>
<td>6.35</td>
<td>17.42</td>
<td>70.75</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.39E-05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>71</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.89</td>
<td>24.26**</td>
<td>32.97</td>
<td>39.88</td>
<td>3.74E-05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3313</td>
<td>2.89</td>
<td>24.26**</td>
<td>32.97</td>
<td>39.88</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.74E-05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>73</td>
<td></td>
<td>16.07**</td>
<td>31.99***</td>
<td>15.23</td>
<td>36.71</td>
<td>1.02E-04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>basic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>76</td>
<td></td>
<td>27.55***</td>
<td>26.87***</td>
<td>18.24</td>
<td>27.34</td>
<td>2.31E-03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>77</td>
<td></td>
<td>27.34***</td>
<td>27.65***</td>
<td>15.64</td>
<td>29.37</td>
<td>6.54E-05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>intermediary</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>78</td>
<td></td>
<td>21.82***</td>
<td>26.52**</td>
<td>15.69</td>
<td>35.96</td>
<td>1.30E-03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>acidic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>79</td>
<td></td>
<td>16.07***</td>
<td>31.99***</td>
<td>15.23</td>
<td>36.71</td>
<td>1.02E-04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.39</td>
<td>27.88**</td>
<td>20.81</td>
<td>47.92</td>
<td>1.88E-03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>basic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>81</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.18</td>
<td>32.77***</td>
<td>21.16</td>
<td>41.9</td>
<td>5.08E-04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>82</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>22.85*</td>
<td>32.17</td>
<td>43.46</td>
<td>1.38E-03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>acidic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>83</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>15.67</td>
<td>14.51</td>
<td>67.92</td>
<td>6.40E-05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>84</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>41.85***</td>
<td>19.56</td>
<td>36.89</td>
<td>2.99E-03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>85</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>21.51</td>
<td>22.41</td>
<td>55.29</td>
<td>8.72E-05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>86</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>36.36***</td>
<td>22.03</td>
<td>40.51</td>
<td>1.46E-03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>87</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.74</td>
<td>42.2***</td>
<td>14.38</td>
<td>40.68</td>
<td>1.55E-04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>88</td>
<td></td>
<td>7.69</td>
<td>20.88*</td>
<td>24.64</td>
<td>46.79</td>
<td>1.08E-03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>89</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>55.53***</td>
<td>11.52</td>
<td>32.3</td>
<td>9.72E-04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>90</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.28</td>
<td>66.54***</td>
<td>11.99</td>
<td>19.19</td>
<td>1.16E-03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>91</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.71</td>
<td>39.02***</td>
<td>9.49</td>
<td>44.78</td>
<td>1.15E-04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>92</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.64</td>
<td>29.71*</td>
<td>10.56</td>
<td>56.1</td>
<td>1.65E-05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>93</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>33.43***</td>
<td>23.38</td>
<td>42.24</td>
<td>7.22E-04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>basic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>94</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>49.82***</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>31.1</td>
<td>1.90E-04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>95</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>27.33**</td>
<td>28.75</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>2.45E-04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>acid</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>96</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.76**</td>
<td>63.72***</td>
<td>14.62*</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>3.75E-05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>97</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.08**</td>
<td>68.49***</td>
<td>15.92*</td>
<td>11.52</td>
<td>1.95E-04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>98</td>
<td></td>
<td>23.67</td>
<td>18.32</td>
<td>19.39</td>
<td>38.61</td>
<td>8.96E-06</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*For some enzymes/isoforms, data transformation was necessary to obtain normally-distributed residues: log transformation for the fermentation proteome, Pgi1p-1660, Pfk2p-4832, Fba1p-4759, Tdh1p-2775, Tdh2p-4872, Tdh2p-4740, Tdh3p-all isoforms, Tdh3p-acidic, Tdh3p-intermediary, Tdh3p-basic, Pgk1p-basic, Gpm1p-all isoforms, Gpm1p-3333, Gpm1p-3345, Gpm1p-3313, Eno1p-all isoforms, Eno2p-all isoforms, Eno2p-intermediary, Eno2p-acidic, Pyk1p-1587, Pdc1p-1606, Adh1p-all isoforms, Adh1p-4799, Ald6p-1565; inverse transformation for Fba1p-all isoforms, Fba1p-4758, Eno1p-acidic, Pyk1p-all isoforms, Pyk1p-
1630, Pyk1p-1310, Pdc1p-4854, Adh1p-4808; and finally square root transformation for Tdh1p-2824 and Eno1p-intermediary.

\( ^b \) df: degree of freedom. Significance is indicated as follow: * significant at 5%; ** significant at 1%; *** significant at 0.1% (Benjamini-Hochberg correction for multiple testing).

\( ^c \) Variance was calculated across the 27 strain x medium combinations.
Table 2. Percentage of variation accounted for by the multiple regression model for whole enzymes and for individual isoforms for seven metabolic or life-history traits.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metabolic of life-history traits</th>
<th>Whole enzymes</th>
<th>Individual isoforms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flux</td>
<td>44.49%</td>
<td>79.50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethanol per cell</td>
<td>46.14%</td>
<td>60.23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycerol per cell</td>
<td>49.96%</td>
<td>69.05%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetate per cell</td>
<td>46.53%</td>
<td>68.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biomass per cell</td>
<td>34.22%</td>
<td>56.58%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K (carrying capacity)</td>
<td>67.28%</td>
<td>87.60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell size</td>
<td>60.92%</td>
<td>88.39%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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A - Linear discriminant analysis
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Figure 5

A

B

C

CO₂ flux
Ethanol
Glucosyl
Acetate
Biomass
K
Cell size

Values (%)

Values (%)

Values (%)

20 10 0

20 10 0

20 10 0
Figure 6
Figure 7