Hdac4 Interactions in Huntington’s Disease Viewed Through the Prism of Multiomics
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In Brief
The histone deacetylase Hdac4 is known to contribute to the progression of Huntington’s Disease (HD), but the underlying mechanisms remain unknown. Here, we defined the endogenous interactome of Hdac4 in the brain of HD mouse models, characterizing their polyQ- and age-dependence in affected tissues. Further integration with proteome and transcriptome data sets reveals the disease-induced enhancement of Hdac4 interactions with vesicular sorting proteins, including the WASH complex. This may contribute to the known decreased synaptic functions in Huntington’s Disease.

Highlights
- Endogenous interactomes of Hdac4 and Hdac5 in mouse whole brain and striata.
- Hdac4 exhibits polyQ- and age-dependent interactions in Huntington’s Disease models.
- Hdac4 associates with vesicular trafficking proteins, including the WASH complex.
- Multiomics analysis supports functional Hdac4 interactions in Huntington’s Disease.
Huntington’s disease (HD) is a monogenic disorder, driven by the expansion of a trinucleotide (CAG) repeat within the huntingtin (Htt) gene and culminating in neuronal degeneration in the brain, predominantly in the striatum and cortex. Histone deacetylase 4 (Hdac4) was previously found to contribute to the disease progression, providing a potential therapeutic target. Hdac4 knockdown reduced accumulation of misfolded Htt protein and improved HD phenotypes. However, the underlying mechanism remains unclear, given its independence on deacetylase activity and the predominant cytoplasmic Hdac4 localization in the brain. Here, we undertook a multiomics approach to uncover the function of Hdac4 in the context of HD pathogenesis. We characterized the interactome of endogenous Hdac4 in brains of HD mouse models. Alterations in interactions were investigated in response to Htt polyQ length, comparing mice with normal (Q20) and disease (Q140) Htt, at both pre- and post-symptomatic ages (2 and 10 months, respectively). Parallel analyses for Hdac5, a related class Ila Hdac, highlighted the unique interaction network established by Hdac4. To validate and distinguish interactions specifically enhanced in an HD-vulnerable brain region, we next characterized endogenous Hdac4 interactions in dissected striata from this HD mouse series. Hdac4 associations were polyQ-dependent in the striatum, but not in the whole brain, particularly in symptomatic mice. Hdac5 interactions did not exhibit polyQ dependence. To identify which Hdac4 interactions and functions could participate in HD pathogenesis, we integrated our interactome with proteome and transcriptome data sets generated from the striata. We discovered an overlap in enriched functional classes with the Hdac4 interactome, particularly in vesicular trafficking and synaptic functions, and we further validated the Hdac4 interaction with the Wiskott-Aldrich Syndrome Protein and SCAR Homolog (WASH) complex. This study expands the knowledge of Hdac4 regulation and functions in HD, adding to the understanding of the molecular underpinning of HD phenotypes. 


Huntington’s disease (HD) is an inherited neurodegenerative disorder that affects about 10 in every 100,000 individuals of European ancestry (1). HD usually manifests as an adult-onset disease with progressive deficits in motor coordination and cognitive functions (2). The neuropathological phenotypes of HD are characterized by selective loss of medium spiny neurons in the striatum, and to a lesser extent, pyramidal neurons in the cortex (3). In contrast to most neurodegenerative diseases, the etiology of HD is monogenic, caused by a trinucleotide CAG repeat expansion in exon 1 of the huntingtin (Htt) gene (4). This expansion results in a mutant protein (mHtt) with an expanded tract of glutamine (polyQ) residues. The number of polyQ repeats is inversely correlated with age of onset of the disease symptoms (5). For example, in individuals that have mutant Htt containing 40–50Q, age of onset is usually in mid-life, whereas individuals with a juvenile age of onset may have upwards of 250 Q residues. The expanded polyQ tract of mHtt increases its self-aggregation and propensity to form oligomers and eventually insoluble aggregates (6–8).

In contrast, HD disease progression is less correlated with polyQ length (9) and the development of symptoms can be patient-specific. Moreover, despite the observed selectivity of HD neurodegeneration, the root-cause pathogenic protein, mHtt, is widely expressed in most tissues. Taken together, this suggests that although HD etiology is monogenic, HD pathophysiology is multifactorial. Indeed, mHtt is known to have pleotropic effects on “omics” cellular networks, exerting significant polyQ-dependent effects on the transcriptome (10–13) and proteome (13). In both human and mouse HD models, down-regulation of specific genes is observed, largely because of decreased transcription from gene promoters (14–16). As a result, cellular factors that modulate gene expression and chromatin states, such as histone acetyltransferases and lysine deacetylases, have been the subject of intense investigation (17). Moreover, the lysine acetyltransferase CREB-binding protein (CBP) has been found to co-localize with huntingtin inclusions (18), whereas histone deacetylase interaction partners were found to have altered subcellular distribution patterns in HD brains (19). Because one of the primary roles of lysine deacetylases is transcriptional repression, the relevance of these enzymes to HD pathophysiology is an active area of research (20).
spectrum inhibition of lysine deacetylases has been found to ameliorate HD-related phenotypes in R6/2 transgenic mice, which was also associated with degradation of specific histone deacetylases, Hdac2 and Hdac4 (21).

Given the family of Hdacs are ascribed to unique subclasses, in part stemming from their distinct cellular functions (22), pharmacological and molecular manipulation of specific Hdacs have been further pursued as potential avenues for intervention (23, 24). The observed degradation of Hdac4 after inhibitor treatment of HD mice has prompted several studies investigating its potential role in HD pathogenesis (21). Hdac4 is a class IIa lysine deacetylase that shuttles between the nucleus and cytoplasm (25). In the nucleus, Hdac4 functions as a transcriptional regulator through interaction with corepressors (26). Yet surprisingly, direct reduction of Hdac4 levels did not affect transcriptional dysfunction linked to mHtt and did not alter nuclear huntingtin aggregation in HD mouse models (23). Instead, it led to a reduction in cytoplasmic Htt aggregates and the overall improvement of HD-related phenotypes (23). Consistent with this observation, Hdac4 appears to be largely cytoplasmic in the brain and has been reported to physically interact with mutant huntingtin (Htt) in the cytoplasm of mouse neurons (23, 27). Yet, the underlying molecular mechanisms that convey improved HD phenotypes with reduced Hdac4 levels are not known. One aspect of Hdac4 biology that has not been sufficiently studied in the brains of HD or non-affected animal models, particularly with unbiased experimental approaches, is the regulation of its protein interactions. Although studies of Hdac4 interactions isolated from cell culture models have been performed, usually via epitope-tagged Hdac4 (28), endogenous Hdac4-containing protein complexes have not been studied in tissues.

To address this limited understanding of Hdac protein interactions and their relevance to HD pathophysiology, we undertook a systems level study of Hdac4 in the whole brain and striatum of HD mouse models with normal (Q20) and mutant (Q140) Htt at pre-symptomatic and symptomatic ages. To explore the modulation of endogenous Hdac4 interactions, we pursued an immunoaffinity purification–mass spectrometry (IP-MS) approach. Because there are no prior reports of IP-MS studies of endogenous Hdac4 in any tissues, this study required an initial extensive optimization of an experimental workflow for the efficient solubilization and enrichment of endogenous Hdac4 from brain tissues. To discover interactions underlying specific Hdac4 functions, we performed parallel interaction studies of endogenous Hdac5, a related class IIa Hdac that was found not to have an impact on HD phenotypes. Overall, our results identified specific molecular targets that have known roles in synaptic plasticity and vesicle trafficking. These enriched functional associations with Hdac4 coincided with an overall dysregulation of synaptic processes in the Q140 HD mouse models, as we determined by integration of proteome and transcriptome data sets from striata of the same HD mouse series, further strengthening the relevance of Hdac4 in HD. More broadly, these results expand the knowledge of Hdac4 regulation and functions in the context of HD and may provide a framework for understanding the molecular underpinning of HD phenotypes and for designing therapeutic interventions.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Animal Models**—Animal lines used were Strain 370504 HttQ20 Heterozygous males and Strain 400809 Q140 neo delete Heterozygous males at ~8 and 40 weeks of age. Animals were raised and sacrificed at The Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, Maine) in compliance with their Institutional Animal Care and Use Committees (IACUC), and whole brains and dissected striata were provided as flash frozen tissue.

**Western Blotting for IP Optimization and Evaluation**—Western blot analysis of bait solubilization and IP efficiency was performed to evaluate isolation and IP performance. Equal percentages of input, pellet, and flowthrough and 2% of the elution were loaded onto 4–12% Bis-Tris gels (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA). Following SDS-PAGE, proteins were electrophoresed onto PVDF membranes overnight at 40V constant voltage. Following this, membranes were blocked in 5% milk in tris-buffered saline (TBS) for one hour at room temperature. Membranes were then exposed to primary antibody in 5% milk in TBS plus 0.1% tween-20 (TBST) according to the following: Hdac4 - H9536 (Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO), 1:2000 dilution, 2 h room temperature; Hdac5 - sc-133225 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Dallas, TX), 1:250 dilution, 2 h room temperature, GAPDH - D-1524 (Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA), Membranes were washed 3 times with TBST and then exposed to secondary antibody in 5% milk in TBST for 45 min at room temperature. Secondary antibodies (goat anti-rabbit A-21109 and goat anti-mouse A-21058, Fisher Scientific) both conjugated to Alexafluor 680 were used at a 1:10,000 dilution. Westerns were imaged on the LI-COR Odyssey CLx system (LI-COR Biosciences, Lincoln, NE) using automatic settings.

**Immunoonaffinity Purification of Endogenous Hdacs From Whole Brain or Striata**—Before IP, antibodies were pre-conjugated to magnetic protein A/G beads (Thermo Fisher Scientific, 88802) for 1 h at 4 °C in 20 mM K-HEPES pH 7.4, 110 mM KOAc, 2 mM MgCl2, 0.1% Tween-20, 1 μM ZnCl2, 1 μM CaCl2. For whole brain IPs, 50 μg of each antibody was conjugated to a 50 μl slurry of beads. For striatum IPs, 25 μg of each antibody was conjugated to 25 μl slurry of beads. Following the 1 h incubation, beads were washed 3 × 500 μl in IP wash buffer (buffer 19): 10 mM HEPES, pH 7.4, 1% Triton X-100, 0.1% Tween-20, 0.2% Sodium Deoxycholate, 150 mM NaCl, 2 mM CaCl2, 3 mM KCl, 2 mM MgSO4, 1.2 mM NaH2PO4.

IPs were performed from either whole brains or dissected striata. For this, the tissues were first lysed in buffer 19 supplemented with 1:100 dilution of HALT protease and phosphatase inhibitor (Thermo Fisher Scientific, 78446), and 1:2500 dilution of universal nuclease (Thermo Fisher Scientific, 88700). For the whole brain experiments, one brain was lysed in 40 ml of buffer and split into three IPs (Hdac4, Hdac5, IgG). For the striatum experiments, 9 striata were pooled and lysed together in 20 ml of buffer and then split into three IPs (Hdac4, Hdac5, IgG). In both cases, the brain tissue was minced on ice and then lysed in 5 ml of buffer by homogenizing in a tenbroek homog...

---

1 The abbreviations used are: HD, huntington’s disease; IP-MS, immunoaffinity purification mass spectrometry; Hdac4, histone deacetylase 4; Hdac5, histone deacetylase 5; Htt, huntingtin; TBS, tris-buffered saline; TBST, TBS plus 0.1% tween-20; HINT, huntingtin INTeraction database; PCA, principal component analysis.
enzer for 20 strokes on ice. The homogenized tissue was transferred to the remaining lysis buffer and incubated on ice for 5 min and then spun down at 8000 × g for 10 min at 4 °C to separate the insoluble material. Protein concentrations were assessed by BCA assay (Thermo Fisher Scientific, 23225) and adjusted for equal loading across IPs. For whole brain IPs, isolations were performed on 14 mg of protein and for striatum IPs, isolations were performed on 7 mg of protein. The concentration adjusted soluble protein was aliquoted into three tubes for IP using either IgG or antibodies against endogenous Hdac4 or Hdac5 for 1 h at 4 °C with rotation.

Following the 1 h incubation, the beads were accumulated using a magnet and then resuspended in 1 ml of IP wash buffer and transferred to a 2 ml round bottom tube. The beads were then washed with 3 × 1 ml of IP wash buffer, resuspended in 1 ml of cold ddH2O and transferred to a new 2 ml round bottom tube. Beads were washed once more with 1 ml of cold ddH2O and then eluted in 85 μl of 106 mM Tris HCl, 141 mM Tris base, 2% LDS, 0.5 mM EDTA at 70 °C for 10 min. Beads were magnetically separated from the eluted proteins (supernatant) and the eluted proteins were then transferred to a new 1.5 ml tube and mixed with 25 mM TCEP (Thermo Fisher Scientific, 20491) and 50 mM chloroacetamide and incubated at 70 °C for 20 min. Proteins were then digested by FASP (29–31) and peptides were fractionated in three fractions by StageTip (32) as previously described (33).

**Reciprocal IP and Western Blot Analysis**—Interactions with the Wiskott-Aldrich Syndrome Protein and SCAR Homolog (WASH) complex were evaluated by reciprocal IP in HEK-293T cells. A construct containing HDAC4-GFP was subcloned from (28) and placed into a pcDNA5–3X FLAG vector generously provided by Dr. Richard Davidson. Additionally, full length WASHc2 and the first 220 amino acids of WASHc2 (enough for interactions with Wash1, Washc3, and Washc5) were cloned from human cDNA into pcDNA5–3X FLAG. Cloning was performed by PCR using either KOD Hot Start DNA polymerase (Sigma Aldrich, 71086–3) or Phusion HF DNA polymerase (New England Biolabs, M0530L) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Primers used for all cloning steps are listed in supplemental Table S1. HDAC4-GFP was co-transfected into a 20% confluent 10 cm dish of HEK-293T cells with either a FLAG-tagged WASH complex component or empty FLAG vector according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Reciprocal isolation of HDAC4-GFP with the FLAG-tagged WASHc2 (enough for interactions with Wash1, Wash3, Washc4, and Washc5) were cloned from human cDNA into pcDNA5–3X FLAG. Cloning was performed by PCR using either KOD Hot Start DNA polymerase (Sigma Aldrich, 71086–3) or Phusion HF DNA polymerase (New England Biolabs, M0530L) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Primers used for all cloning steps are listed in supplemental Table S1. HDAC4-GFP was co-transfected into a 20% confluent 10 cm dish of HEK-293T cells with either a FLAG-tagged WASH complex component or empty FLAG vector using XtremeGene HP DNA transfection reagent (Sigma Aldrich, 6366244001) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. One day post transfection, cells were harvested and lysed in lysis buffer 19 and homogenized by Polytron (Kinematica) at 25,000 rpm for 20 s. Following pelleting of insoluble material, FLAG IPs with 10 μg of antibody were performed using the same protocol described for Hdac IPs above. Reciprocal isolation of HDAC4-GFP with the FLAG-tagged WASH constructs was assessed using Western blotting as described above. Antibodies used were: FLAG (F1804, Sigma Aldrich) 1:4000, 1 h room temperature temperature; GFP (11814460001, Roche) 1:2000, 1 h room temperature; Hdac4 - H9536 1:2000, 1 h room temperature.

**LC-MS/MS Analysis**—Following peptide fractionation, label-free samples were analyzed on an Ultimate 3000 nanoRSLC coupled online with an ESI-LTQ-Orbitrap Velos ETD mass spectrometer (Thermo Electron, San Jose, CA). Reverse-phase chromatography was performed over a 20 cm IntegraFrit column (IF360–75–50-N, New Objective, Woburn, MA) packed in-house with 1.9 μm ReproSil-Pur C18-AQ (Dr. Maisch, GmbH) with mobile phase A: 0.1% formic acid in water and mobile phase B: 0.1% formic acid in 97% acetonitrile. Peptides were separated over a 150 min gradient (5% B to 30% B) with 250 nl/min flow rate and analyzed by MS1 survey scans followed by data-dependent collision-induced dissociation (CID) MS/MS fragmentation of top 15 most abundant ions. The following parameters were used: FT preview scan disabled, waveform injection and dynamic exclusion enabled, automatic gain control target value of 1 × 105 for MS and 1 × 104 for ion trap MS/MS scans, max ion injection time of 300 ms for MS and 125 ms for MS/MS scans. For MS scans: m/z range of 350–1700 and resolution of 120,000; for MS/MS scans: minimum signal of 1,000, isolation width of 2.0, normalized collision energy of 30% and activation time of 10 ms. Mass Spectrometry Informatics—MS/MS spectra were searched against a FASTA file containing mouse protein sequences and common contaminants (16,932 sequences, download 7/2016 from Uniprot) using Proteome Discoverer 2.2.0.388. The Spectrum Files RC node and Minora Feature Detector nodes were used to perform offline mass recalibration and label-free MS1 quantitation respectively. Specifically, to minimize false propagation of MS/MS identifications, we utilized the Minora feature detection algorithm in Proteome Discoverer 2.2, which performs mass recalibration, retention time alignment, and propagation of features confirmed by MS/MS. After mass recalibration and feature alignment, the optimal settings for retention time and mass tolerance windows are determined by Minora based on the distribution of mass accuracy and RT variance. We then assessed the overall linear regression fits of the matching algorithm by examining landmark features for each file to ensure that the mapping was reasonable. We additionally spot-checked several peptides for different abundance levels.

The data were searched using Sequest HT with settings for a fully tryptic search with a maximum of two missed cleavages, precursor mass tolerance of 5 ppm, fragment mass tolerance of 0.3 Da, static carbamidomethylation of cysteine, dynamic oxidation of methionine, dynamic deamidation of asparagine, dynamic loss of methionine plus acetylation of the protein N terminus, and dynamic phosphorylation of serine, threonine, and tyrosine. A reverse database search was also performed to determine FDR. The matched spectra were then passed to the Percolator node to perform PSM validation and then to the ptmRS node for PTM site localization assignment.

A consensus file was then created in Proteome Discoverer 2.2 for each data set using the Feature Mapper and Precursor Ions Quantifier nodes. The MS1 signals were mapped across runs to pick up missing values from peptides not sequenced in every run and then quantified by taking the max peak intensity for each peptide. The precursor ion quantifier node was set to leave normalization and scaling off. Additionally, the merge mode of the MSF file node was set to “per file and search engine type” and the target false discovery rate (FDR) (Strict) settings in the Peptide Validator node were set to 0.01. These settings preserve the spectral count data from each sample and set the FDR to 1% respectively. For protein inference, two unique peptide sequences were required. Only unique and razor peptides were used for MS1 quantitation.

Bioinformatic Analysis of Interaction Data—The assembled data set was inspected for quality and then exported to excel for further analysis. Total spectral count data was analyzed by SAINT (34) using the REPRINT (35) interface. SAINT was run with LowMode off, MinFold on, and Normalize on and the average SAINT score from the best analysis. The assembled data set was inspected for quality and then exported to excel for further analysis. Total spectral count data was analyzed by SAINT (34) using the REPRINT (35) interface. SAINT was run with LowMode off, MinFold on, and Normalize on and the average SAINT score from the best two replicates in each condition was used for specificity assessment. The selection of appropriate SAINT score thresholds was based on both our previous assessment of suitable SAINT scores when studying interactions of the different eleven HDACs (HDAC1-HDAC11) (28), and on examination of our current interaction data from the brain tissues. Specifically, like our previous human HDAC4 interactome study in CEM T cells, we used a SAINT score cutoff of ±0.8 for isolations of HDac4 in the whole brain for the Q20 2- and 10-month data and the Q140 2-month data (28). For the Q140 10-month data,
we observed an overall increase in the number of proteins that passed this cutoff. Given the possibility that some of these interactions may derive non-specifically from the increased aggregation present in these symptomatic brains, we used a more stringent cutoff of \( \geq 0.95 \) when analyzing these data. For the Hdac5 isolations in whole brain, a uniform threshold of \( \geq 0.7 \) was applied for all conditions. In the striatum, there was an increase in the number of high-scoring proteins when compared with the whole brain IPs; however, no differential effect of the symptomatic mice was observed on the number of high scoring proteins. Therefore, a uniform cutoff of \( \geq 0.95 \) was used for Hdac4 in all striatum conditions. For Hdac5 isolations in the striatum, we again used a uniform cutoff of 0.7 in all conditions.

Proteins passing specificity thresholds were further analyzed in a variety of ways using MS1 abundance-based quantitation, which includes values gained from feature matching. Network interaction diagrams incorporating relative quantitation and known protein-protein interaction data were generated using Cytoscape (36) using information and enrichments from STRING (37). The ClueGO (38) app in Cytoscape was used to perform different sets of two-group comparisons of enriched proteins and interactions. Heatmaps of the quantitative MS1 data were generated using Morpheus (Broad Institute, https://software.broadinstitute.org/morpheus). Principal component analysis (PCA) was conducted using the Clustvis (39) resource. Information on known Htt-interacting proteins was retrieved from the Huntington INTeraction (HINT) database (http://www.hdinhd.org). For heatmap and Cytoscape network visualization, interacting proteins were normalized to their respective bait proteins in each IP and the median of the three replicate value was used. Parts of some figures were generated using https://biorender.io under an academic license.

**Multitomic Investigation of Striatum from HD Model Mice**—The transcriptome data was obtained from supplemental Table 1 in (13) and used without further modification. The whole proteome data on the same mice was generated on a Q Exactive instrument platform and was downloaded from PRIDE (PXD006302) in RAW format and researched in Proteome Discoverer 2.2 using similar settings as described above with the following exceptions: the Sequest HT search was performed with a precursor mass tolerance of 5 ppm and 0.02 Da mass error on the fragment ions; no phosphorylations were included in this search, all other modifications listed previously were included. MS1-based label free quantitation was again performed, and the samples were normalized to the total peptide signal in each replicate. Imputation of missing values was performed only when a peptide was detected in at least half (four) of the replicates analyzed and the imputed value was generated from a normal distribution around the median of the detected values. Quantitative evaluation was performed by pairwise comparisons of all detected peptides and the median ratio was used for protein level comparison. Significance assessment was performed using the background-based ANOVA method implemented in Proteome Discoverer 2.2 and multiple comparison adjustment of the \( p \) values was performed. A minimum of two unique peptides and quantitation in at least half of the samples was required for further evaluation of each protein.

Proteome data was mapped to transcriptome data by converting protein accession numbers to Entrez gene IDs using the Retrieve/ID mapping tool of uniport.org. For all accessions that could not be mapped to an Entrez gene ID, the gene symbol was used to map against the transcriptome data set. The final set of protein-mRNA pairs considered for analysis consisted of those proteins that mapped unambiguously to either an Entrez Gene ID or to a gene name in the transcriptome set. This resulted in a final set of 7939 genes for which protein and RNA measurements were available. Comparisons of the proteome and transcriptome were performed by assessing significantly differential gene products (adjusted \( p \) value \( \leq 0.1 \)) and log2 fold change values of Q140/Q20 mice at each age (2 and 10 months). For the construction of Gene Ontology/Pathway heatmaps, the ontology terms associated with each protein were downloaded from the UniProt KnowledgeBase (release 2018–10). The associated terms and corresponding proteome and transcriptome relative abundance were imported into the Perseus software (40). Using the individual transcript and protein relative abundances, an average relative abundance was calculated for each ontology/pathway term that contained at least 3 annotated proteins. Heatmaps were constructed separately for each ontology/pathway, and clustered by Euclidean distance and average linkage using the log2-transformed average relative abundances in Morpheus.

**Experimental Design and Statistical Rationale**—IPs of Hdac4 and Hdac5, along with IgG controls, were performed from whole brain tissue and dissected striatum in biological triplicate. For the whole brain IPs, one single brain was used for each replicate of IPs (Hdac4, Hdac5, and IgG). For the striatum IPs, 9 individual striatum were pooled before lysis to have enough material for one replicate of IPs. In each case, the triplicate IPs were performed from four different experimental conditions (Q140 and Q20 mice at 2 and 10 months of age) for a total of 72 IPs analyzed in total. This sample size was chosen to provide sufficient statistical power for analysis while minimizing the number of animals needed for the experiments. The same IgG control from each condition was used as a negative control for both the Hdac4 and Hdac5 isolations to determine specificity. The previously generated whole proteome and transcriptome data sets used for dissected striatum were performed with 8 replicates each as described in (13). SAINT specificity score assessments were performed as described (34). All enrichment analyses were multiple comparison adjusted within the respective software used to perform the analysis and adjusted \( p \) values of \( \leq 0.05 \) were considered significant. For comparison of proteome and transcriptome, a multiple comparison adjusted \( p \) value threshold of \( \leq 0.1 \) was used to provide a more complete comparison, consistent with (13). Statistical comparisons of interaction level trends were performed in Prism using the Kruskal-Wallis test.

**RESULTS**

**Optimization of Endogenous Hdac4 Isolation from Brain Tissue**—To investigate the means through which Hdac4 plays a role in HD, we sought to determine the interactome of Hdac4 in a relevant HD model system. For this, we used a mouse model that heterozygously expresses a human exon 1 of Htt with the rest of the Htt sequence being from mouse (Fig. 1A). This system is available as an allelic series with varying numbers of CAG repeats (and thus polyQ lengths), thereby providing models for normal (low polyQ) and HD disease (high polyQ) conditions. In agreement with previous literature (13, 41), the Q20 mouse was used as a “normal” condition, as this repeat length represents a non-disease causing polyQ repeat, and the Q140 mouse represented an HD disease condition. To further place the knowledge of Hdac4 interactions in the context of age, we performed interaction studies in normal- or HD-mice of 2 and 10 months of age, representing a presymptomatic and a post-symptomatic age, respectively.

We first had to begin our investigation by performing a series of optimizations for the IP of Hdac4 (Fig. 1A). Before this study, to our knowledge, no other study has reported an IP-MS of endogenous Hdac4 from brain tissue or another type of tissue. Despite the availability of commercial anti-Hdac4 antibodies, many of these antibodies proved to either
lack enough affinity or specificity. For example, we found one of the antibodies to have stronger cross-reactivity for Gja1 rather than for Hdac4. In total, we tested five different antibodies against endogenous Hdac4, which allowed us to identify the one best suited for immunoaffinity purification. The isolation method was further refined by comparing three different homogenization methods, Dounce, cryogenic lysis and polytron homogenization. As cryogenic lysis usually provides an effective and reproducible lysis for experiments using cells (42), we tested several different lysis buffers using this homogenization method (supplemental Table S2, supplemental Fig. S1A). However, the lysis buffers that solubilized Hdac4 and Hdac5 were too harsh for the antibodies to work effectively for IP and still did not fully solubilize other proteins to the extent expected. Therefore, we next tested Dounce-based homogenization and found the solubilization of the Hdacs in brain tissue much improved (supplemental Fig. S1B).

Next, as the composition of the lysis buffer has a profound effect on the maintenance and specificity of the identified protein interactions (42), we continued to fine-tune the performance of the IPs by comparing six additional lysis buffers (supplemental Table S2, supplemental Fig. S1C). In each additional buffer, we evaluated solubilization of bait proteins, efficiency of bait capture, and overall background binding to arrive at an optimal set of conditions for all three parameters while maintaining interactions. In parallel with these optimizations, we also tested three different bead isolation systems including antibodies covalently coupled to M270 Dynabeads (ThermoFisher) or FG beads (Nacalai) and antibodies non-covalently coupled to magnetic protein A/G beads (Thermo Fisher). The non-covalently coupled magnetic protein A/G beads proved to have the best antibody binding affinity and lowest background binding of the bead supports tested.

Ultimately, we arrived at an optimal set of conditions for the efficient isolation of endogenous Hdac4 from mouse brain tissue (Fig. 1A, Western blotting). As controls, we performed parallel immunoaffinity purifications of IgG, as well as of endogenous Hdac5 (Fig. 1B). As another class IIa histone deacetylase, Hdac5 also shuttles between the nucleus and the cytoplasm and is known to share interactions with Hdac4 (28). However, unlike Hdac4, Hdac5 has not been found to have a role in HD disease (23). Therefore, the comparison of endogenous Hdac4 and Hdac5 interactions would allow us to distinguish interactions that are unique to Hdac4 and poten-
temporarily relevant in HD. The isolation of Hdac5 also required optimization, and we tested four antibodies before selecting the best for IP studies. Using our optimized lysis and isolation conditions, we generated functional interaction networks by performing three biological replicate IP-MS analyses for both Hdac4 and Hdac5 from Q20 and Q140 mouse brain at 2 and 10 months of age (Fig 1B).

Hdac4 Interactions in Whole Brain Reveal an Association with RNA Binding and Vesicle Trafficking Proteins—To begin analyzing our IP-MS data sets we used our negative control IgG isolation data and the SAINT algorithm to assign specificity scores to the identified proteins. It should be noted that although the IgG controls and SAINT filtering help to eliminate proteins that associate non-specifically with the beads or antibodies used, there is still a possibility that some of the proteins identified can derive from the cross-reactivity of the antibody and not interaction with Hdac4 or Hdac5. On examining the score distributions, we assigned a specificity cutoff for Hdac4 of \( \geq 0.8 \) for the Q20 2- and 10-month data and the Q140 2-month data, a score in line with that previously used for human HDAC4-FLAG IP from CEM T cells (28). We assigned a cutoff of \( \geq 0.95 \) for the Hdac4 Q140 10-month data owing to the increased number of high scoring interactions in this data set (supplemental Table S3). On examining the specificity-filtered Hdac4 interactions, the enrichment in cytoplasmic proteins was evident and in agreement with the known localization of Hdac4 in brain tissue (23, 27) (Fig 2A). Only a small number of chromatin related proteins were detected in our Hdac4 IP.

To ensure that our lysis and isolation conditions are not biasing the results against previously reported nuclear Hdac4 interactions, we performed an additional IP experiment. We used our previously generated HDAC4-GFP expressing CEM-T cells and immunoaffinity purified HDAC4-GFP using the same lysis conditions as for our brain sample with either anti-GFP antibodies or the antibody against endogenous HDAC4. As we expected for CEM-T cells, where HDAC4 has both prominent nuclear and cytoplasmic localization, we identified its known functional interactions with nuclear proteins, including NCO1 and TBL1XR1 (supplemental Fig. S2). Therefore, this combination of resin, antibody and lysis condition is effective for isolating HDAC4 and its interactions regardless of their subcellular localization. Adding further confidence to the cytoplasmic localization of Hdac4 in brain tissue, we also compared the relative levels of phosphorylated Hdac4 at Ser629 (pSer629, Ser632 in human), which is known to be a 14–3-3 interaction site required for Hdac4 shuttling to the cytoplasm. Normalized to total Hdac4 isolated, we observed over 400-fold increases in pSer629 levels in the brain samples when compared with T-cells (Fig 2B) (28). Having confirmed that our method was not biasing against the detection of known Hdac4 nuclear interactions, we proceeded to further investigate the functional classes of the interactions observed in brain tissue.

Among the identified Hdac4 associations were those with RNA binding proteins and proteins involved in phosphoinositide (PI) signaling, neuronal function and vesicle trafficking (Fig 2A). Interestingly, PCA analysis of Hdac4 interactions did not reveal any overt trends driven by age or Q length (Fig 2C). However, a comparison of the relative abundances (based on MS1 peak-area label-free quantitation) of these interactions did show a slight decreasing trend with both age and Q length (Fig 3A). Of note, this trend was not influenced by the differential selection of SAINT score cutoff for the Q140 10-month sample when compared with the other IPs, as a similar trend was observed when using \( \geq 0.8 \) SAINT scores for all Hdac4 IPs (supplemental Fig. S3). Further assessment of these age- and Q-dependent changes revealed clusters of decreasing and increasing associations within distinct functional groups (Fig 3B).

The RNA binding proteins grouped into four distinct abundance profiles (Fig 3B) as shown in the line plots. Individual proteins in the highlighted groups are shown in heatmaps below the line plots. Group 1 exhibited a slight increase in Hdac4 association in the Q140 mice with little age-dependent change. Group 2 RNA binding proteins, on the other hand, did not change their associations with Hdac4 in a Q length-dependent manner, but had an evident age-dependent decrease in association. These proteins include RNA-binding protein with serine-rich domain 1 (Rnps1) and RNA-binding protein 39 (Rbm39) that are involved in mRNA splicing (43). Group 3 exhibited both and age and Q length-dependent decrease in association. Included in this group are the proteins Zinc finger RNA-binding protein (Zfr) and Staufen homolog 2 (Stau2). Zfr has been reported to control the cytoplasmic localization of Stau2 (44), which transports RNA from the cell body to the dendrite. Group 4 retained similar associations with Hdac4 at all conditions, except for an increase at 10 months of age in the Q20 animals. The ribosomal and translation-associated proteins had a trend of decreased associations with both age and Q length, whereas the polysome proteins only exhibited an age-related decrease in Hdac4 association. It should be noted that ribosome proteins are frequently identified in IP-MS data sets as possible non-specific associations. Given their relative enrichment compared with control isolations, it is possible that these represent specific Hdac4 associations in this biological context. Altogether, this Hdac4 association with RNA binding proteins and proteins associated with cytosolic ribosomes, polysomes, and translation, could suggest and involvement for Hdac4 in post-transcriptional regulation in mouse brain.

The Hdac4 association with cytoplasmic proteins could also be divided into three main abundance profiles (Fig 3B). Of interest are the groups that contained either interactions that increased with age or decreased with polyQ length (groups 2 and 3, respectively). Group 3 contained proteins involved in cytoskeletal regulation, including sorting
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nexin-33 (Snx33) (45) and KN motif and ankyrin repeat-domain-containing protein 4 (Kank4) (46).

Perhaps of most interest was the uncovered Hdac4 association with proteins related to vesicle trafficking and neuronal function (Figs 2A and 3B). One example is the interaction with members of the Wiskott-Aldrich Syndrome Protein and SCAR Homolog (WASH) complex (47), a pentameric protein complex that functions as part of the endosomal protein sorting machinery. Specifically, WASH induces membrane bending and the localization of filamentous (F-) actin to endosomes, thereby contributing to retromer-mediated vesicle trafficking.

Additionally, Hdac4 interacted with other proteins involved in driving localization to early endosomes, in the regulation of actin filaments, as well as in neuron outgrowth, thereby suggesting a potential Hdac4 role in intracellular transport or its regulation via trafficking. Further support comes from our observed association with phosphoinositol signaling proteins, known to play roles in diverse cellular pathways, including vesicle trafficking (48). On investigating the changes in these associations with age and polyQ length, we observed three different trends. However, most of these proteins displayed decreased associations with Hdac4 in the Q140 10-month mice. The exceptions were Iqgap1 and Inpp4a (group 1) that had increased associations in these mice (Fig 3B).

Given the previously identified functional association of Hdac4 with Htt in HD mouse models, we also asked whether any previously reported Htt-interacting proteins were present in our network. To do this, we used the Huntingtin INTeraction (HINT) database curated on hdinhd.org and compared our data set to this list of reported Htt-interacting proteins. Proteins found in HINT were labeled in red text in our interaction networks. Although also having endogenous Hdac4 interactions in Q20 and Q140 mice, which differs from that in the whole brain (Fig 3B), that age did not have a large impact on this behavior.

The striatum-specific Hdac4 interaction network has many broad similarities in protein classes and functions as those found in the whole brain interactome. However, unlike in the whole brain IPs, in the striatum we observed a stronger impact of Htt Q length on the Hdac4 interactome. In a PCA analysis of the specificity-filtered interactions, we noticed that the different Q length samples tended to cluster together (Fig 4A), and that age did not have a large impact on this behavior. This can also be seen in the overall distribution of the relative abundances of Hdac4 interactions in the striatum (Fig 4B), which differs from that in the whole brain (Fig 3A).

As expected from the subcellular localization of Hdac4, we again observed an enrichment of cytoplasmic proteins along with only a small number of chromatin-associated proteins. We also saw an enrichment in RNA-binding proteins, proteins associated with translational regulation, and phosphoinositol

**Fig. 2. Investigation of Hdac4 protein interaction in whole brain.** A, Comparison of endogenous Hdac4 interactions in Q20 and Q140 mice at 2 and 10 months of age. Each interacting protein is shown as a ring plot with the relative median MS1 abundance levels in each isolation condition depicted as indicated on the Hdac4 ring at the center of the network. Gene names shown in red are Hdac4 specific interactions that are also reported Htt interactions. Edges represent known protein-protein interactions and other associations present in the STRING database. Protein interactions have been functionally grouped and labeled in blue text. B, Relative Hdac4 pSer629 levels in mouse brain are over 400-fold higher than in cultured human T cells. C, PCA of Hdac4 interactions in whole brain shows no overt Q- or age-dependent trend.
FIG. 3. Alterations in Hdac4 whole-brain protein interactions with Htt polyQ length and age. A, Line plots and heatmaps of selected interaction categories are shown. Each category is broken down into three or more groups of proteins with similar abundance profiles and these are shown on the line graphs depicting the relative association with Hdac4 (scaled 0–1) in each condition with a different color for each group. The number of proteins examined in each functional grouping is listed below the title of each. Below these graphs are heatmaps depicting all members of one or more groups shown in the line graph above. The heatmaps show the relative changes in each protein across conditions with the minimal amount associated with Hdac4 getting a blue color and the maximal association with Hdac4 a red color. ***, p < 0.001, Kruskal-Wallis test.

B, A slight trend of decreased relative interaction abundances is observed across age and Q length. *** p < 0.001, Kruskal-Wallis test.
signaling proteins, consistent with the whole brain data (Fig 4C). Similarly, Hdac4 interactions with vesicle trafficking and neuronal function related proteins were also evident in the striatum. The largest number of known Htt-interacting proteins were also found in these protein classes, suggesting a possible functional relevance in HD for this enrichment. Also like the whole brain IPs, the Hdac5 interactome in dissected striatum (supplemental Fig. S7, supplemental Table S6) was again enriched for cytoplasmic proteins and distinct from the interactome of Hdac4. In addition to the 14–3-3 proteins, only three other proteins, Thbs1, Cat, and Fry, were shared between the observed Hdac4 and Hdac5 interactions, adding further support to the distinct role of Hdac4 in HD disease.

To gain further insights into the tissue type-enriched Hdac4 associations, we next compared the Hdac4 interactomes derived from whole brain and striatum. Approximately 23% of the interactions were shared, whereas ~34% were unique to the whole brain IP and ~43% were unique to the striatum IP (supplemental Fig. S8A). For Hdac5, this distribution was different in that ~55% of the filtered interactions were found in both whole brain and striatum, whereas only ~6% were unique to whole brain and ~39% unique to striatum (supplemental Fig. S8B). This suggests that Hdac5 may have a large subset of functions that are shared between the striatum and the rest of the brain (represented by >55% of its interactions). For both Hdac4, the interactions observed only in the whole brain and not in the striatum may derive from other brain regions. The relatively large number (34%) of such Hdac4 interactions suggests that Hdac4 may have distinct functions in brains regions outside of the striatum, though this would need additional experimentation to confirm. Conversely, the interactions found only in striatum may be unique to this brain region or may pass the specificity filter because of the cleaner background and lower complexity of the striatum IPs. The percentages of interactions uniquely enriched in the striatum are similar for Hdac4 and Hdac5, suggesting that both enzymes have specific functions within this brain region. However, the classification of these interacting proteins according to their biological roles indicates that only Hdac4 has enriched associations with neuronal processes and vesicular trafficking proteins. Interestingly, the relative proportions of shared and unique interactions for Hdac4 and Hdac5 in whole brain and striatum remain largely the same when considering only the interactions specific in the Q20 (normal) condition, indicating that the observed distribution of interactions is not dependent on Htt mutational status (supplemental Fig. S8C–S8D).

To examine the shared and differential functional classes in the Hdac4 interactomes further, we performed overrepresentation analyses of biological process gene ontologies using ClueGO in Cytoscape (Fig 5A). Most enriched classes showed an increased number of interactions present in the striatum, including the functional categories of synaptic organization, organelle localization, and cytoskeletal transport. A closer look at the regulation of synapse organization category (Fig 5B) revealed sub-categories involved in dendritic development. Additionally, an examination of the categories of establishment of organelle localization and regulation of receptor-mediated endocytosis (Fig 5C) revealed subcategories involved in vesicle trafficking driven by proteins enriched in the striatal IPs. Taken together, these results suggest that, in the striatum, Hdac4 may play a role in synaptic development and function and thus is poised to exacerbate synaptic decline in HD patients if Hdac4 becomes dysregulated during the disease.

Hdac4 Exhibits polyQ-dependent Enriched Interactions with the WASH Complex—As our striatum versus the whole brain comparison highlighted the enrichment of Hdac4 associations with vesicle trafficking and neuronal function related proteins, we further investigated the age- and Q length-dependent abundance trends for these associations (Fig 6A, left). Four groups were evident, with group 1 consisting of Inpp5j and Prex2 and exhibiting decreased Hdac4 association with age but increased association in the Q140 2 months old mice. The most significant changes (p ≤ 0.001) were observed within group 3 (Fig 6A, right), which contained proteins that increased considerably in association with Hdac4 at both ages in Q140 mice. Within this group were members of the WASH complex, which we initially discovered in the whole brain Hdac4 IP. Providing further support for this Hdac4 association, in the striatum we found more complex components and accessory proteins, including Wash2, Washc5, and Snx27. The other complex components, Wash, Washc3, and Washc4 were also detected in the striatum IP, completing this pentameric complex, but these proteins were present at lower levels and therefore did not pass our stringent specificity cutoff (SAINT >0.95). However, it is noteworthy that all WASH components displayed the exact same changes in their associations with Hdac4 in response to polyQ length and age, showing a substantial increase in association in Q140 mice (Fig 6B). Therefore, the specific focus on this HD-affected tissue highlighted the increased Hdac4-WASH association in a disease condition.
Given this pronounced polyQ-dependent enrichment for 
the WASH complex, we aimed to validate this previously 
unreported association of Hdac4. We first tested the few 
commercially-available antibodies against WASH complex 
members, but these did not work for immunoaffinity purifica-
tions of the baits. Therefore, we next undertook a systematic 
cloning approach to co-express GFP-tagged HDAC4 with 
individual FLAG-tagged components of the WASH complex. 
Our goals were to determine whether we could confirm the 
association, and whether we could determine with which

**Fig. 5.** Comparison and characterization of Hdac4 interactomes in the whole brain and striatum. A, Biological process gene ontology 
analysis of shared and unique Hdac4 interactions in whole brain and striatum. Blue represents interactions in striatum and yellow interactions 
in whole brain. Pie charts show relative proportion of interactions in each functional class that were present in whole brain or striatum. B, Examination of the regulation of synapse organization GO term shows an enrichment in striatal IPs of proteins involved in dendrite generation. C, Examination of receptor-mediated endocytosis and organelle localization GO terms shows an enrichment in striatal IPs of vesicle trafficking and synaptic proteins.
member(s) of the complex HDAC4 most prominently interacts. For most WASH complex members (Wash1, Washc3 and Washc5), we were successful in performing cloning using mouse cDNA, except for WASHc2, which we had to amplify from human cDNA. We also cloned the accessory factor Snx27 from mouse cDNA. We then performed reciprocal isolations of the individual WASH members and investigated the co-isolation of HDAC4 from HEK-293T cells. Our results confirmed the association of HDAC4 with the WASH complex. Specifically, we observed the reciprocal isolation of HDAC4 with immunoenriched WASHc2, Washc3, and Snx27 (Fig. 6C). Wash1 and Washc5 did not show the reciprocal isolation of HDAC4 (supplemental Fig. S9); therefore, these proteins may associate with HDAC4 indirectly through the interactions of the other complex members.

We next investigated which domains may mediate this interaction between the WASH complex and HDAC4. We focused this study on WASHc2, as this was the most enriched WASH component in our Hdac4 IP-MS-derived interactomes and was the only component found to be specifically enriched in both the whole brain and the striatum. We noted that the first 220 amino acid region of WASHc2 has been shown to be enough to promote interaction with the other members of the WASH complex (47, 52). Therefore, we tested whether this region alone can co-purify HDAC4. Indeed, we observed that isolation of this N-terminal WASHc2 fragment also enriched HDAC4, suggesting that the interaction of HDAC4 with WASHc2 might be mediated by this region.

A Multiomics Perspective of Hdac4 Interactions: Integration with the HD Mouse Proteome and Transcriptome—Unlike our findings from the whole brain IP data set, in the striatum we see an increase in interaction abundance for most proteins in the Q140 condition (Fig 3A versus 4B). One possible explanation of increased interaction abundances is a corresponding increase in the overall proteome abundance of these proteins in the striatum of Q140 mice. To investigate this possibility, we took advantage of a proteomics data set publicly available from PRIDE (PXD006302) that contained label-free whole proteome analyses and RNAseq transcriptome analyses from (13). The new proteome data was generated in collaboration with the CHDI foundation as a resource to complement their proteome study at 6 months of age (13) but has not yet been utilized in a publication. Importantly, these multiomics experiments were performed on dissected striatum and included mice with the same Htt Q lengths and ages as our study.

For the proteome analyses, we reanalyzed the raw data using the same label-free quantification pipeline as our interaction data sets (supplemental Table S7). The processed RNAseq data in supplemental Table S1 from (13) and was used without further processing. We mapped the proteins that were quantified in at least half of the samples to their Entrez gene identifiers and then overlapped this with the transcriptome data. This resulted in a total of 7939 protein-mRNA pairs for further analysis (Fig 7A, supplemental Table S8).

To begin, we first examined the proteome in this combined data set for signature protein differences correlated with expanded polyQ length at both 2 and 10 months. We saw a clear separation of the Q140 and Q20 samples in PCA space (supplemental Fig. S10A) indicating that the polyQ expansion may...
be driving proteome level differences. We also noted that the 2- and 10-month-old mice were more separated in PCA space in the Q140 mice than the Q20 mice, indicating that advanced age can further enhance the proteome alterations observed during HD. To examine the age and Q-dependent proteome changes in these mice, we compared proteins that were significantly up and down regulated at each age in Q140 mice compared with Q20 mice (supplemental Fig. S10B). We chose an adjusted \( p \) value cutoff of \( \leq 0.1 \) for this analysis to make it comparable with the previous published analysis at 6 months (13). We next analyzed the proteins with increased abundance in Q140 mice at both ages (supplemental Fig. S10, left) using the ClueGO Cytoscape app to perform a combined Gene Ontology (GO) Biological Process and Reactome pathway enrichment analysis (supplemental Fig. S10C).

A subset of proteins was enriched in ion transmembrane transport and synaptic vesicle transport pathways at roughly equal proportions in both ages. Additionally, we found neurotransmitter and mitochondrial related functions to be up-regulated in both ages, but more so at 10 months. Finally, we noted a single enriched category (positive regulation of myelination) that was more enriched in the 2-month-old mice. All these categories are related to energy generation and synaptic functions, which are known to be dysregulated in HD (53, 54). Interestingly, the proteins with significantly decreased abundance in Q140 mice were not as functionally clustered as the up-regulated proteins. Enrichment analysis yielded only sparse results related to mitochondrial membrane organization because of an insufficient number of differential proteins with the same ontology.

We next asked whether the observed proteome differences are also present at the transcript level in these mice using the same significance cutoff in both data sets (adjusted \( p \) value \( \leq 0.1 \)). In the 2-month-old mice, almost no significantly differential transcripts were present, but in the 10-month-old mice, we observed a subset of genes that exhibited concerted significant differences at both protein and transcript level (Fig 7B). To investigate these concerted differential genes in the 10-month samples, we examined them in the context of a set of previously defined mRNA modules (13) that were found to have a polyQ-dependent variation across three ages (2, 6, and 10 months) in the striatum. We found that of the 140 concerted differential genes in Fig 7B, 101 (72%) fell into 6 of the 37 mRNA modules defined by the Langfelder et al. study (13) (supplemental Fig. S11). The largest proportion of differential genes fell into module 2, which was enriched for striatal marker genes and was negatively correlated with polyQ length (13). Other genes fell into modules positively correlated with polyQ length that were enriched for mitochondria (Modules 9 and 43) and p53 signaling and cell division (Module 20) (supplemental Fig. S11). In all, this analysis demonstrated that at least some of the polyQ-dependent transcriptional changes observed in relevant functional categories were also preserved at the proteome level in symptomatic Q140 mice.

We next investigated whether there are functional correlations between gene-protein pairs that exhibited concerted abundance changes outside of the previously defined functional mRNA modules. Ontologies for each gene were obtained from UniProt, and the average relative gene and protein abundances (Q140/Q20) were calculated for each ontology based on the respective annotated genes (\( N \geq 3 \)). The gene ontologies were visualized by hierarchical clustering as a functional of average relative abundances (Fig 7C, supplemental Fig. S12A–S12B). These analyses revealed that genes involved in ion transport, G protein-coupled receptor signaling, and nervous system development had concerted decreases. We also observed concerted increases in genes involved in vesicular trafficking, protein transport, and respiratory electron transport.

Examination of the annotated functional connectivity of the concerted gene-protein pairs identified several small interconnected networks, most prominently for G-protein signaling and transcriptional regulation (Fig 7D). For instance, one of the gene-protein pairs with the greatest down-regulation in the Q140 proteome was Gpr52. Gpr52 is an orphan striatal-enriched G protein-coupled receptor that was previously shown to modulate Htt (55). Moreover, knockout of Gpr52 in HD mouse models lowered mutant Htt and rescued pathological HD phenotypes, whereas a Gpr52 antagonist decreased soluble mutant Htt levels (56). This G protein network also included down-regulated corticotropin-releasing hormone (Crh), which was previously reported to be decreased in the basal ganglia of post-mortem HD brains (57). Given an increasing appreciation that transcription dysregulation (transcriptionally and epigenetically) is a prominent facet of HD pathogenesis (58), our identification of a dysregulated transcriptional network containing the forkhead box protein p1 transcription factor (Foxp1), and a three-member network involving histone acetylation activity is noteworthy. Foxp1 has striatal selective expression and likely serves a neuroprotective role in HD (59). The cluster of proteins involved in histone acetylation (Yeats4, Brd8, and Dr1) can be members of the NuA4 and ATAC histone acetyltransferase (HAT) complexes (60, 61). Yeats4/Gas41 and Brd8 are known to function within...
with concerted changes at the proteome and transcriptome (8). Because there was only one Hdac4 interacting protein having significantly differential proteins levels. Among these protein-protein interactions identified in our striatum IP were observed to have altered abundances in either the proteome or transcriptome data sets (Fig 8A). We noted that 73 interacting proteins had significantly differential transcripts, but only 15 had significantly differential proteins levels. Among these proteins, only Stag1 was found significantly down-regulated at both the protein and transcript level in the 10-month mice (Fig 8B). Because there was only one Hdac4 interacting protein with concerted changes at the proteome and transcriptome level, we decided to compare Q-dependent fold changes at each age and cluster them by Euclidean distance to look for patterns that could be significant but at sub-threshold levels. No overt conserved patterns were observed between the three data sets (Fig 8C). However, individual protein interactions did show differential trends in ratios across the interactome and proteome or transcriptome, with the proteome demonstrating the most pronounced effect.

To investigate this further, we normalized all interactions by the proteome abundances to determine if even non-significant changes in proteome abundances would result in overt thematic changes in functional sub-groups of the Hdac4 interactome (supplemental Table S9). The proteins with the most pronounced changes were further examined in a heatmap, ordered from highest to lowest association with Hdac4 in the 10-month samples, as adjusted by the proteome (Fig 8D). The members of the WASH complex did not have prominent changes in their abundance at the proteome level, indicating that the observed increase in their association with Hdac4 in the striatum of Q140 mice in our IP data set is not driven by a chance in their overall protein abundance, but rather by another regulatory event. It is noteworthy that even for those proteins that did exhibit some proteome abundance changes, the proteome-corrected associations with Hdac4 in the IP data set largely followed the original trends. This highlights that the identified changes in Hdac4 interactions are more likely functionally-driven rather than simply abundance-driven, emphasizing the value of examining interaction studies from multiple omic perspectives.

**DISCUSSION**

Our multiomic study of Hdac4 highlights the unique role that this protein performs in neuronal tissues, as compared with its previously known functions in human cell culture (28). In HD mouse brain, we see enrichments for proteins involved in RNA binding, vesicle trafficking, synaptic function, and other related neuronal functions. By comparing interactomes generated from both whole brain and a single brain region, we were able to identify Hdac4 interactions that are enriched in the striatum, giving us clues into region-specific Hdac4 roles. Performing our interactome analysis in four different conditions, non-disease and disease polyQ lengths at pre- and post-symptomatic ages, further allowed us to identify sets of functionally related proteins that have altered associations with Hdac4 in response to age or disease progression. Finally, the integration of whole proteome and transcriptome data sets from these HD mouse models offered a perspective of the impact of mutant Htt and age on protein abundance and gene expression patterns, and how these synergize with functional enrichments observed in our Hdac4 interactomes. Below we discuss some of the main findings from this multiomic study.

We observed a strong enrichment in cytoplasmic Hdac4 protein interactions, in conjunction with increased phosphor-
ylation levels (in brain when compared with cultured T-cells) on a residue important for Hdac4 cytoplasmic shuttling. These findings support previous reports of predominant cytoplasmic Hdac4 localization in the mouse brain and proposed cytoplasmic function in HD mouse models (23). Our identification of proteins involved in vesicle trafficking, synaptic function, and cytoskeletal regulation (Fig 2A) offers hypotheses for the mechanisms underlying the previously observed rescue of synaptic function in HD mice on Hdac4 knockdown. A possible interpretation is that Hdac4 may regulate synaptic vesicle trafficking or neurotransmitter recycling and that this function becomes disrupted in HD. In support of this hypothesis, another study observed neurons with synaptic localization of Hdac4 (27), indicating that this may be a normal function for Hdac4. However, it is also possible that these interactions represent the active trafficking of Hdac4 to different subcellular regions, and that this proper trafficking is disrupted by aggregated Htt, resulting in Hdac4 mis-localization or possibly accumulation at the synapse, with subsequent disruption of synaptic function. It should be taken into account that, as only one antibody was found to be suitable for IP-MS experiments for each Hdac, we cannot rule out the possibility that...
a subset of the proteins that passed the specificity filtering may be derived from possible antibody cross-reactivity rather than by association with Hdac4 or Hdac5. We hope that the protein interactions identified in our study, particularly those that functionally correlate with the perturbations identified in the multiomic data, provide a set of potential targets that will aid future studies aimed at elucidating the roles of Hdac4 at the synapse.

Additional support for an Hdac4 role in vesicle trafficking and synaptic function was provided by our interactome study in dissected striata (Fig 4C), which demonstrated strong enrichment in proteins involved in these functional categories (Fig 5A). The enrichment in synapse organization included proteins like Snx27, a known binding partner of the WASH complex that we also found in the Hdac4 interaction network. The WASH complex is an actin-regulating multiprotein complex involved in endosomal sorting and trafficking. Of note, the WASH complex and its associated binding proteins have been previously implicated in two other neurological disorders, Parkinson’s Disease (66) and hereditary spastic paraplegia (67). In our study, we observed a clear concerted pattern of association with Hdac4 for all members of the WASH complex, in which the Q140 mice had a significantly higher level of complex components associated with Hdac4. We further confirmed this association of Hdac4 and the WASH complex by reciprocal isolations of HDAC4 by several members of the WASH complex (WASHc2 and Washc3, and the accessory factor Snx27). Of note, a recent study found that association of Snx27, the WASH complex, and retromer facilitated the recycling of endosomal cargos to the plasma membrane (68). It is tempting to speculate that this increased Hdac4-WASH association could play a role in the synaptic dysregulation in HD, and this would require further investigation.

Along with the increased Hdac4-WASH complex association, we noted a general trend of elevated interactions in the Q140 mice (Fig 4B). Among these interactions were those with the cation channel complex proteins, particularly the N-type calcium channel proteins. Some of these, Cacna1a and Cacna1b, were also present in the synaptic vesicle transport GO term that was enriched in the striatal interactome (Fig 5C). This family of proteins is of interest in this context, having been previously implicated in HD, though only Cacna2d1 was reported as an Htt-interacting protein. Previous work has shown that mutant Htt can cause alterations to the cell surface expression of Cacna1b and disrupt its interactions with regulatory proteins (69). Although we do not have cell surface measurements of Cacna1b abundance, we did note a slight increase in protein abundance in our proteome data set. The association of Hdac4 with these calcium channel proteins provides additional evidence that Hdac4 has a role in synaptic function in HD.

In addition to Cacna2d1, we observed several previously reported Htt-interacting proteins in our Hdac4 interactomes. This suggests that, although we did not detect Htt in our Hdac4 interactomes, a possible indirect interaction (either physical or functional) between Htt and Hdac4 may exist. Interestingly, the Htt-interacting proteins that also associated with Hdac4 was increased in the Q140 10-month-old condition (supplemental Fig. S8E–S8F). This was not observed for Hdac5, adding additional weight to the possibility of a functional Hdac4 association with Htt via these common interacting proteins.

The Hdac5 interaction networks were substantially distinct from Hdac4, with only the 14–3–3 proteins and five other proteins present in both interactomes and no major Q-length dependence in the interaction levels observed. Hdac5 also appears to be primarily cytoplasmic in the brain and associated prominently with tubulin and the centrosome. The almost completely unique set of interactions for Hdac4 and Hdac5 in the brain does not mirror observations from human cell culture experiments with T cells and HEK293 cells, where overlapping interactions were detected, though these were primarily nuclear associations (28). Other than the 14–3–3 proteins, only Catalase (Cat) and Protein furry homolog (Fry) were consistent among all of the interactomes generated. Fry may act as a scaffold protein to bridge Polo-like kinase 1 and Aurora kinase A, and aurora kinases have been shown to phosphorylate class Ila Hdacs in cells (49), thus it is possible that a similar regulation occurs in this biological system.

A primary goal of HD research is to identify those proteins that are mostly directly involved in conveying the cellular pathology of mutant Htt. Omics studies have the potential to significantly contribute to this goal. Yet the inherent nature of these approaches to provide large, unbiased data sets can present challenges for data summarization and interpretation. Although targeted omics investigations, such as subcellular proteomes or interactomes are powerful, as illustrated by our protein network analyses of Hdac4 interactions in the striatum (see Fig 4C), the functional assessment of putative interactions still covers a reasonable proteome space across diverse biological processes. Therefore, additional reductionist strategies are required to maximize biological insights. The integration of multi-omics data sets has the potential to provide these insights and expedite the design of tractable, hypothesis-testing studies. In the current study, we viewed our Hdac4 interactions from the perspective of proteomes and transcriptomes perturbed by HD to identify common feature sets at the pathway and gene level. Though not yet fully published, dual omic data sets for the same HD mouse models at 2 and 10 months of age were available in public repositories. This also highlights the value of making omic data sets available to the scientific community for re-analysis from different biological perspectives. We performed re-processing of the raw quantitative proteomics data to allow for equivalent comparison to our interactome data set. Pathway and biological process enrichment analyses on differential genes identified with
concerted changes at the proteome and transcriptome level identified key enriched processes that were also over-represented in the striatal interactome (Fig 4 C versus 7 C), most notably processes associated with synaptic functions such as vesicle trafficking and recycling and synaptic transmission. However, it is also interesting to consider why there are nearly as many proteome changes in the younger mice as the old despite almost no difference in the transcriptome at 2 months of age. HD has been shown to have both proteostatic and transcriptional dysfunctions, and it is possible that the proteostatic/translational differences are more apparent in the younger mice and the transcriptional effects are detected at more advanced age. Indeed, the transcriptome analysis by Langfelder et al., which examined striatum, cortex, and liver at three different ages, showed almost no HD-dependent transcriptional differences at the 2-month time point (13). However, the authors observed that by 6 months of age, all tissues had detectable levels of transcriptional dysregulation. The Langfelder study also showed that the 6-month age striatum had more differentially regulated transcripts than the 10-month-old mice, further highlighting the temporal nature of this dysregulation. Altogether, these previous reports and our current study show the need for integrating multiomic data sets for understanding the contribution of complex biological processes to disease phenotypes.

Another interesting result from our Hdac4 striatal interactome that we further refined using multi-omics comparisons was the observation of polyQ-dependent increases in the protein interaction levels. As discussed above, this was not observed for proteins associating with Hdac5, which suggested that many of the Hdac4 interactions are uniquely regulated in HD. Yet, the possibility existed that differential regulation at the transcriptome and proteome levels could influence these Hdac4 interaction changes. Our integration of the transcriptome, proteome, and Hdac4 interactome abundances strongly suggest that the polyQ-dependent modulation of interactions occurs largely at the post-translational level. For most interacting proteins, their proteome abundance levels were relatively unchanged. An exception to this was the cohesin subunit SA-1 (Stag1), which showed opposing omic abundance changes. Stag1 exhibited a 2-fold increase in association with Hdac4, whereas its overall abundance within the proteome was decreased by 2-fold, suggesting that the potentiation of this interaction is precisely modulated. The interaction could be potentiated within specific cellular compartments, or given our model system, in specific cell types. Functionally, Stag1 is a member of the cohesion complex, which is well-known for its role in chromosome structure maintenance during the cell cycle (70), but also for its role in regulating gene expression (71), the latter of which would be relevant for post-mitotic cells. In-
Indeed, CNS and limb developmental defects are observed when cohesin functions are disrupted, such as in individuals with Cornelia de Lange syndrome (72). Moreover, in mouse models, low cohesin expression caused abnormal dendrite and synapse formation (73). Although the proteome analyses did not detect polyQ-dependent changes in other members of the cohesin complex, several cohesin complex members contain a HEAT domain as does Htt (74). Given there is currently no known functional link between Stag1, or the cohesin complex, and HD pathogenesis, understanding the functional significance of the Hdac4-Stag1 will require further investigation.

Overall, our observation that polyQ-dependent effects on Hdac4 interaction abundances are largely independent of their respective proteome changes suggests that the primary influence of mutant Htt on Hdac4 is to alter the composition and/or stability of its associated proteins. This could be achieved through several mechanisms, including changes in post-translational modifications (PTMs) or conformation, as well as protein sequestration. For example, as exemplified by class IIa Hdacs, phosphorylation status largely determines nuclear versus cytoplasmic localization (25), which subsequently impacts the composition of its interactions (75). In HD mouse models, Hdac4 remains cytoplasmic (23), though whether re-localization occurs within cytoplasmic compartments, such as at pre- or post-synaptic densities, remains a possibility. It is also possible that the PTM status of Hdac interacting partners are altered in the Q140 mice, potentiating their interaction with Hdac4. Although the broad impact of mutant Htt on the phosphoproteome is not known, Htt phosphorylation does play a critical role in modulating its aggregation and neuronal toxicity (76–78). For Hdac4 interactions that are decreased under conditions of Q140 Htt, mutant Htt may directly or indirectly sequester the interaction, preventing their normal cellular function(s). However, given the majority of Hdac4 interactions are potentiated and that Hdac4 reduction ameliorates function(s). However, given the majority of Hdac4 interactions are potentiated and that Hdac4 reduction ameliorates TD and synapse formation (73). Although the proteome analyses presented here represents the first unbiased assessment of Hdac4 and Hdac5 interactions in mouse brain and highlights the potential for a specialized role of Hdac4 in the striatum. Our integrated multiomic assessment of Hdac4 and HDac5 changes in HD mouse models provides a comprehensive and contextualized view of the role Hdac4 is performing in HD and provides a resource for future investigation into the molecular mechanisms underlying these functions.
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